



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The relationship between parental awareness of sexual abuse and children's skills to say "no"



Zila Özlem Kirbaş^{a,*}, Emel Şahin^b

^a Departamento de Enfermería, Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Bayburt University, Turkey

^b Departamento de Servicios de Salud, Centro de Formación Profesional İkizce, Ordu University, Turkey

Received 26 July 2023; accepted 20 November 2023

Available online 7 February 2024

KEYWORDS

Ability to say "no";
Child;
Child sexual abuse;
Parental awareness;
Parental awareness of sexual abuse

Abstract

Introduction: Child sexual abuse is a global and multidimensional social problem and causes devastating and permanent psychological, emotional, cognitive, behavioural, physical, sexual and interpersonal sequelae. This study examines the relationship between the ability to say "no" and parental awareness of sexual abuse in 4th grade primary school students.

Methods: The study was conducted between April 2022 and June 2022 in primary schools in the central district of a province in north-eastern Turkey. The sample consisted of 310 students enrolled in 4th grade and their parents. We collected the data through a personal information form, the Ability to Say "No" Scale for Children and the Sexual Abuse Awareness Scale for Parents.

Results: There was a weak positive correlation between the mean maternal scores of sexual abuse awareness and the mean scores of refusal and resistance in children ($P < .05$), as well as a weak positive correlation between the mean paternal scores of sexual abuse awareness and the mean scores of refusal and resistance in children ($P < .05$).

Conclusion: As mothers' and fathers' awareness of sexual abuse myths and of teachings and actions to combat sexual abuse increased, the refusal of children also increased. Also, as fathers' awareness of the signs of sexual abuse increased, children's refusal increased.

© 2024 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

DOI of original article: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anpedi.2023.11.015>

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ozlemkirbas@bayburt.edu.tr (Z.Ö. Kirbaş).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Capacidad de decir "no";
Niño;
Abuso sexual infantil;
Conciencia de madres y padres;
Conciencia de madres y padres sobre abuso sexual

Relación entre la conciencia de madres y padres sobre el abuso sexual y las habilidades de los niños para decir "no"**Resumen**

Introducción: El abuso sexual infantil es un problema social global y multidimensional que provoca resultados devastadores y permanentes en las relaciones psicológicas, emocionales, cognitivas, conductuales, físicas, sexuales e interpersonales. Este estudio examina la relación entre la capacidad de decir "no" la conciencia de madres y padres sobre el abuso sexual en estudiantes de 4º de primaria.

Métodos: El estudio se realizó entre abril de 2022 y junio de 2022 en escuelas primarias de un distrito central provincial en el noreste de Turquía. La muestra del estudio estuvo formada por 310 alumnos de 4º de primaria y sus madres y padres. Compilamos los datos del estudio con el Formulario de Información Personal, la Escala de Capacidad para Decir "No" para Niños y la Escala de Conciencia de Abuso Sexual para Padres.

Resultados: Hubo una correlación positiva débil ($p < 0,05$) entre las puntuaciones medias de conciencia de las madres sobre el abuso sexual y las puntuaciones medias de rechazo y resistencia de los niños, una correlación positiva débil ($p < 0,05$) entre las puntuaciones medias de la conciencia de los padres sobre el abuso sexual y las puntuaciones medias de rechazo de los niños.

Conclusión: A medida que aumentó la conciencia de los madres y padres sobre los mitos y las enseñanzas sobre el abuso sexual y las acciones para combatir el abuso sexual, también aumentó la negativa de los niños. Se encontró que a medida que aumentaba la conciencia de los padres sobre las señales de abuso sexual, aumentaba la negativa de los niños.

© 2024 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

The numerous short- and long-term negative consequences associated with child sexual abuse (CSA) and its high prevalence make its prevention a societal priority.^{1–4} There is evidence that parents play an important role in preventive efforts against child sexual abuse.⁵ It is important to teach children self-protection skills and how to have healthy and respectful relationships.^{6,7}

Different measures need to be taken to protect children from sexual abuse. One of them is to ensure they have the ability to say "no" to sexual abuse.⁸ When it comes to sexual abuse, one consideration is whether the child is or is not able to say "no".⁹ According to Sanderson, children can protect themselves if they are informed about the dangers of sexual abuse, given permission to resist and provided with information on how to resist. Saying "no" loud and clear is a possible strategy for refusal, resistance or escape.¹⁰ It is known that children who exhibit timid attitudes and, most importantly, do not know how to say "no", are unable to ask for help from another person or escape the situation when faced with the threat of abuse.¹¹ In a study conducted in Nigeria, almost all parents informed their children that if someone wanted to see or touch their private parts, they should say "no" (98%) and tell a trusted adult (96%).¹² The ability to say "no" helps individuals protect their own rights, respect the rights of others, behave freely¹³ and uphold personal values and ideals.^{14,15} When exercised appropriately in the right place and at the right time, it helps prevent the aggressive behaviours of other

individuals.^{16,17} The current evidence shows that, in general, parental knowledge and attitudes in regard to sexual abuse and what they teach their children are limited and insufficient to protect children from CSA.^{18,19} In addition, rather than raising children as individuals that accept everything, children need to be raised to be aware of their own bodies²⁰ and taught to say "no" to any kind of request, manipulation or threat from strangers.²¹ In our review of the literature, we found no study analysing both parental awareness of sexual abuse and the ability of children to say "no". Therefore, the aim of our study was to assess parental awareness of sexual abuse and its association with the ability of children to say "no".

Materials and methods

Study design

We conducted a descriptive and correlational study.

Participants

The population of interest consisted of 851 fourth grade students and their parents in a province of north-eastern Turkey, and the study was conducted between April and June 2022. We estimated we needed a sample of at least 269 for a 95% confidence level and 5% margin of error.²² The sample included 310 primary school students and their parents (300 mothers and 283 fathers). The study excluded students with

disabilities, students whose main caregivers were not the parents (for instance, grandparents) and those who refused to participate.

Tools used

Personal information form

We developed a personal information form based on the relevant literature.^{9,23,24} The form consisted of 15 questions about the sociodemographic characteristics of students and parents, such as age, sex, family structure and household income.

The Ability to Say "No" scale for children

Self-report scale developed by Yılmaz and Sözer⁹ to assess the ability of 4th grade students to say "no". This scale is structured in 2 dimensions, refusal and resistance. Each of these dimensions contains 6 items. The items in the refusal dimension assess whether students can say "no" to demands and behaviours that they dislike or find untrustworthy. In the resistance dimension, there are statements that explore whether students eventually yield due to feelings they may experience after saying "no" or additional manipulation or pressure following their refusal. Students are asked to rate each statement in the items on a 5-point Likert scale as "Never", "Rarely", "Sometimes", "Often" and "Always". To compute the scores obtained through the scale, items in the refusal dimension are scored normally, while items in the resistance dimension are reverse scored. Each dimension yields a score ranging from 6 to 30. The authors reported a Cronbach α of 0.78 for the refusal dimension and 0.77 for the resistance dimension. In our study, we obtained a Cronbach α of 0.78 for the refusal dimension and 0.87 for the resistance dimension.

The Sexual Abuse Awareness scale for parents

It was developed by Berkmen and Seçim.²³ The scale consists of 23 items rated on a 5-point Likert scale (5 "strongly agree"; 4 "agree"; 3 "neither agree nor disagree"; 2 "disagree"; 1 "strongly disagree"). The possible score of the scale ranges from 23 to 115 points. Scores of 57–58 points are average. Increases in the score reflect a greater parental awareness of CSA. The Cronbach α for the scale is 0.90. In our study, the Cronbach α was 0.87 for mothers and 0.88 for fathers.

Ethical considerations and informed consent

The study was conducted in adherence with universal and scientific ethical principles, including the principles of informed consent, autonomy, protection of privacy and confidentiality, equality and do not harm. The study adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki. It was approved by a university ethics committee. We obtained permission to use the scales from their respective authors, the authorization of the Provincial Directorate of National Education, and consent from the parents and children who participated in the study.

Data analysis

The data were analysed with the software package IBM SPSS, version 22. We defined statistical significance as a P value of less than 0.05 or 0.01. We used the Shapiro-Wilk test to assess whether the data followed a normal distribution. In the descriptive analysis, we summarised the data as absolute frequency and percentage distributions and using the mean and standard deviation. We used the Spearman correlation coefficient to assess the association between variables.

Results

Table 1 summarises the characteristics of the children.

The mean score of the students in the refusal dimension of the Ability to Say "No" scale was 25.60 (SD, 4.29), and the mean score in the resistance dimension was 24.76 (SD, 5.87) (**Table 2**).

The mean total score of mothers in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale was 98.09 (SD, 10.48). When it came to the dimensions of the scale, the mean maternal score was 31.45 (SD, 4.85) for the "sexual abuse myths" dimension, 50.30 (SD, 5.65) for the "teachings and actions to combat abuse" dimension and 16.32 (SD, 2.53) for the "signs of sexual abuse" dimension (**Table 3**).

The mean total score of fathers in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale was 97.26 (SD, 10.93). When it came to the dimensions of the scale, the mean paternal score was 31.23 (SD, 4.81) for the "sexual abuse myths" dimension, 49.94 (SD, 6.17) for the "teachings and actions to combat abuse" dimension and 16.08 (SD, 2.53) for the "signs of sexual abuse" dimension (**Table 4**).

The analysis of the correlation between the mean maternal scores in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale and the mean scores of children in the Ability to Say "No" scale revealed a weak positive correlation ($P < .05$) between the maternal total sexual abuse awareness scores and the mean refusal and resistance dimension scores of children. There was a weak positive correlation between the mean sexual abuse myths score of the mothers and the mean refusal dimension score of the children ($P < .05$), and a weak positive correlation between the mean teachings and actions to combat abuse score of the mothers and the mean refusal dimension score of the children ($P < .01$) (**Table 5**).

When it came to the correlation between the mean paternal scores in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale and the mean scores of children in the Ability to Say "No" scale; we found a weak positive correlation ($P < .05$) between the mean paternal sexual abuse awareness score and the mean score of children in the refusal dimension. There was a weak positive correlation between the mean paternal sexual abuse myths score and the mean refusal score of the children ($P < .01$), a weak positive correlation between the mean teachings and actions to combat abuse score of fathers and the mean refusal score of the children ($P < .01$) and a weak positive correlation between the mean paternal sexual abuse signs score and the mean refusal score of the children ($P < .05$) (**Table 6**).

Discussion

In our study, the mean score of children in the refusal dimension of Ability to Say "No" scale was 25.60 (SD, 4.29) and

Table 1 Sociodemographic characteristics of participants (N = 310 children).

		n	%
Age	9	59	19
	10	226	72.9
	11	25	8.1
Sex	Female	181	58.4
	Male	129	41.6
Household structure	Nuclear family	271	87.4
	Extended family	29	9.4
	Single parent family	5	1.6
	Other	5	1.6
Number of Siblings	Only child	14	4.5
	Two siblings	95	30.6
	Three or more siblings	201	64.8
Birth order	First child	97	31.3
	Second child	98	31.6
	Third or later child	115	37.1
Self-reported income level	Low	20	6.5
	Medium	256	82.6
	High	34	11.0
Maternal vital status	Alive	310	100
	Dead	0	0.0
Paternal vital status	Alive	309	99.7
	Dead	1	0.3
Self-reported academic performance	Poor	4	1.3
	Average	88	28.4
	Good	218	70.3
Maternal age	Minimum	27	
	Maximum	56	
	Mean	37.95	
Maternal educational status	Illiterate	6	1.9
	Literate	8	2.6
	Primary school	110	35.5
	Middle School	63	20.3
	High School	82	26.5
Maternal occupation	University	41	13.2
	Homemaker	272	87.7
	Administrative worker	26	8.4
	Unskilled worker	8	2.6
	Other	4	1.3
Paternal age	Minimum	31	
	Maximum	60	
	Average	42.55	
Paternal educational attainment	Illiterate	3	1.0
	Literate	7	2.3
	Primary School	48	15.5
	Middle School	48	15.5
	High School	103	33.2
Paternal occupation	University	101	32.6
	Skilled worker	53	17.1
	Administrative worker	84	27.1
	Unskilled worker	102	32.9
	Pensioner	8	2.6
	Other	63	20.3

Table 2 Mean scores in the Ability to Say "No" scale for children (N=310).

Ability to Say "no" scale	Number of items	Possible score range	Participant score range	Mean	SD
Refusal	6	6–30	8–30	25.60	4.29
Resistance	6	6–30	6–30	24.76	5.87

Table 3 Mean maternal scores in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale (N=300).

	Number of items	Possible score range	Score range in the study	Mean	SD
Global score	23	23–115	47–115	98.09	10.48
Sexual abuse myths	8	8–40	10–40	31.45	4.85
Teachings and actions to combat abuse	11	11–55	17–55	50.30	5.65
Sexual abuse signs	4	4–20	8–20	16.32	2.53

Table 4 Mean paternal scores in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale (N=283).

	Number of items	Possible score range	Score range in the study	Mean	SD
Global score	23	23–115	47–115	97.26	10.93
Sexual abuse myths	8	8–40	14–40	31.23	4.81
Teachings and actions to combat abuse	11	11–55	18–55	49.94	6.17
Sexual abuse signs	4	4–20	7–20	16.08	2.53

Table 5 Correlation between the mean maternal scores in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale and the mean scores of children in the Ability to Say "No" scale.

	Refusal	Resistance
Sexual abuse awareness	<i>r</i> 0.21 ** <i>P</i> .00	<i>r</i> 0.14* <i>P</i> .01
Sexual abuse myths	<i>r</i> 0.13 * <i>P</i> .02	<i>r</i> 0.02 <i>P</i> .67
Teachings and actions to combat abuse	<i>r</i> 0.22 ** <i>P</i> .00	<i>r</i> 0.11 <i>P</i> .05
Sexual abuse signs	<i>r</i> 0.10 <i>P</i> .06	<i>r</i> 0.05 <i>P</i> .39

* Significant correlation (*P* < .05).** Significant correlation (*P* < .01).**Table 6** Correlation between the mean paternal scores in the Sexual Abuse Awareness scale and the mean scores of children in the Ability to Say "No" scale.

	Refusal	Resistance
Sexual abuse awareness	<i>r</i> 0.19 ** <i>P</i> .00	<i>r</i> 0.08 <i>P</i> .17
Sexual abuse myths	<i>r</i> 0.15 ** <i>P</i> .01	<i>r</i> 0.05 <i>P</i> .34
Teachings and actions to combat abuse	<i>r</i> 0.21 ** <i>P</i> .00	<i>r</i> 0.11 <i>P</i> .05
Sexual abuse signs	<i>r</i> 0.11 * <i>P</i> .04	<i>r</i> 0.03 <i>P</i> .53

* Significant correlation (*P* < .05).** Significant correlation (*P* < .01).

the mean score in the resistance dimension was 24.76 (SD, 5.87) (Table 2). The mean refusal and resistance scores in children were high. It is thought that children's developing ability to say no may play an important role in protecting themselves from the risk of sexual abuse.

In our study, the mean sexual abuse myths score was 31.45 (SD, 4.85) in mothers (Table 3) and 31.23 (SD, 4.81) in fathers (Table 4). Our study showed that parental awareness of sexual abuse myths was high. This was an encouraging finding. Similar to this study, there are studies in the literature reporting that parents have partial, moderate and good knowledge about sexual abuse.^{23,25–28} Thapa et al.²⁹ reported that the majority of a sample of 224 mothers (77.8%) had a moderate level of awareness about girl child abuse and only 21 (7.3%) had a good level of awareness about girl child abuse in their study on mothers of girls aged less than 16 years. It is believed that the global scope of the problem of child abuse contributes to parental awareness of sexual abuse.²⁶ Parents may have some false beliefs or distorted cognitions about child abuse. These can lead to unhealthy communication within the family and affect the intrapsychic structure of the child.³⁰

In our study, the mean maternal score on the teachings and actions to combat sexual abuse dimension was 50.30 (SD, 5.65) (Table 3), while the mean paternal score in the same dimension was 49.94 (SD, 6.17) (Table 4). Mothers' and fathers' awareness of the teachings and actions to combat sexual abuse was high. Sanberk et al.³¹ reported in their study with socially advantaged and disadvantaged mothers with 48–66-month-old children that mothers have inaccurate or insufficient knowledge about sexual abuse and how to prevent it. The findings of the study by Sanberk et al.³¹ differed from those of our study. The authors reported that all of the mothers stated that they did not give informa-

tion about sexual abuse to their children and that they believed that such education should start in adolescence or primary school because younger children cannot understand this issue at their age.³¹ Üstündağ³² also reported that parents who did not provide education about sexual abuse to their children were unsure about the age at which such education should be given. A study conducted by Üstündağ³² in parents with children aged 3–6 years found that those who had not taught their children about how to protect themselves from sexual abuse had not provided sexual abuse prevention education because they did not think of discussing sexual abuse, did not have time, thought that their child was too young, thought that the subject might frighten or upset the child, did not know how to explain it, did not have access to sources of information for guidance, did not think they had enough information, felt embarrassed, felt uneasy or thought that sex education was contrary to their culture and beliefs. A systematic review examining the effectiveness of a child sexual abuse prevention programme in India found that parents used various measures to protect their children from the risk of sexual abuse, including educating children about sexual abuse, monitoring and supervising children and creating a safe environment.¹⁶ For this reason, it is important for parents to have sufficient knowledge to explain sexual development, sexuality, sexual abuse and to do so in an age-appropriate way.³³ In the study conducted in a sample of parents of children aged 3–6 years, Üstündağ³² found that while most parents (70%) provided their children with sexual abuse protection education, they still needed more information and guidance by reliable experts. Alzoubi et al.²⁵ reported that only 17% of mothers started to implement some child sexual abuse prevention measures when their children were very young (1–4 years old) and less than half (48.8%) started when their children were aged 4–6 years. Three quarters of the mothers (74%) stated that educating their children about child sexual abuse could prevent it. It is the responsibility of adults to protect children from sexual abuse. So, it is also important to raise awareness in parents, who are the primary caregivers of children and support their development in every area. Having limited knowledge on the subject, feeling anxious about it or not knowing how to discuss it may prevent parents from talking to their children about sexual abuse and also make it difficult for children to talk to their parents in the case of possible sexual abuse.³⁴ There is evidence supporting that more information and awareness-raising activities are needed to help parents understand that all children are at risk of sexual abuse and that victimisation can occur at any age.³² In our study, the mean score in the sexual abuse signs dimension was 16.32 (SD, 2.53) for mothers (**Table 3**) and 16.08 (SD, 2.53) for fathers (**Table 4**). We found a high level of awareness of signs of sexual abuse in parents. In the previous literature, Alzoubi et al.²⁵ evaluated the knowledge and perceptions of mothers about child sexual abuse in Jordan and found that mothers with a higher income or educational attainment and mothers who were employed were more likely to recognise the signs and symptoms of

child sexual abuse compared to other mothers. Pullins and Jones³⁵ conducted a study in 150 parents to assess parental knowledge of child sexual abuse symptoms and to identify the factors associated with this knowledge, and reported that parents were aware of child sexual abuse symptoms were in the areas of physical/medical, emotional, sexual behaviour and behaviour towards others. In a study conducted by Thapa et al.,²⁹ mothers of girls aged less than 16 years stated that the most convincing evidence of abuse was the child's disclosure (74%), the child's behaviour (66%) and the child's emotions (60%), and cited the denial of the abuser among the factors that increase uncertainty (21%). Plummer³⁶ reported that mothers first learnt about sexual abuse from the verbal reports (42%) or behaviours (15%) of their child victims, that almost half of the mothers felt that something was "not quite right" before learning about the abuse, and that mothers took many actions to clarify what was going on, including talking to their children (66%) or watching events more closely (39%). Bayrak²⁸ reported that parents had awareness of child abuse in a study of parents of children aged 1–6 years; however, the responses were mostly limited to the effects of sexual abuse. Educating mothers about effective ways to explore suspicions of sexual abuse and weigh evidence for or against abuse can increase maternal protection and speed up investigations.³⁶ The fact that there was no association between mothers' awareness of sexual abuse signs and children's refusal was an intriguing finding. Considering that mothers tend to be closer to children as the primary caregiver than fathers, this was unexpected.

It has been determined that as the teachings and actions of parents in the fight against sexual abuse increase, the refusal behaviour of children also increases. It is believed that parental beliefs, thoughts and attitudes about sexual abuse are reflected in their behaviour to protect their children from sexual abuse, and this in turn is related to children's refusal behaviour.

Conclusion

In the study, we found a correlation between mothers' sexual abuse awareness scores and children's refusal and resistance scores. There was also a correlation between fathers' sexual abuse awareness scores and children's sexual abuse refusal scores. Parents exhibited a high level of awareness of sexual abuse myths. We also found a high parental awareness of the teachings and actions to combat sexual abuse. Parental awareness of the signs of sexual abuse was also high. As the awareness of mothers and fathers about sexual abuse myths increased, the refusal of children also increased. As the teachings and actions of mothers and fathers in combating sexual abuse increased, the refusal of children also increased. Also, as fathers' awareness of the signs of sexual abuse increased, children's refusal also increased.

Since the study was conducted on 310 fourth grade students and their parents in primary schools in the central district of a province in north-eastern Turkey between April and June 2022, the research results cannot be generalized to the whole country, which constitutes a limitation of the study. Incidents of sexual abuse tend to remain secret. For this reason, there need to be organizations that can provide support in case of child sexual abuse, access for victims to support pages and internet sites where users can communicate remotely with psychiatrists, psychologists, school paediatric nurses, social workers, support lines against child abuse, internet help centres and guidance regarding the legal process. Information campaigns should be increased through guidance classes at schools and disseminated through mass media, television and social media. These findings can contribute to the development of other studies and strategies to prevent sexual abuse.

References

- Abajobir AA, Kisely S, Maravilla JC, Williams G, Najman JM. Gender differences in the association between childhood sexual abuse and risky sexual behaviours: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2017;63:249–60.
- Russell D, Higgins D, Posso A. Preventing child sexual abuse: a systematic review of interventions and their efficacy in developing countries. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2020;102:104395.
- Gastaferro K, Felt JM, Font SA, Connell CM, Miyamoto S, Zadzora KM, et al. Parent-focused sexual abuse prevention: results from a cluster randomized trial. *Child Maltreat.* 2022;27(1):114–25.
- Knack N, Winder B, Murphy L, Fedoroff JP. Primary and secondary prevention of child sexual abuse. *Int Rev Psychiatry.* 2019;31(2):181–94.
- Guggisberg M, Botha T, Barr J. Child sexual abuse prevention—the strategies of protective mothers and fathers: a systematic review. *J Fam Stud.* 2021;1–19.
- Eelmaa S. 'My Daughter Is not like That': a qualitative study of parental perception on child sexual abuse risk. *Discourse.* 2021;7(2):56–80.
- Trew S, Russell DH, Higgins DJ, Walsh K. Effective delivery methods and teaching strategies for child sexual abuse prevention: a rapid evidence check. Institute of Child Protection Studies, Australian Catholic University; 2021, <http://dx.doi.org/10.26199/rdbq-xm46>.
- Leclerc B, Wortley R, Smallbone S. Victim resistance in child sexual abuse: a look into the efficacy of self-protection strategies based on the offender's experience. *J Interpers Violence.* 2011;26(9):1868–83.
- Yılmaz F, Sözer MA. The Ability to Say No Scale for Children: validity and reliability study. *J Meas Eval Educ Psychol.* 2018;9(1):33–48.
- Sanderson C. A guide to child sexual education and protection from abuse for parents and teachers (The seduction of children: Empowering parents and teachers to protect children from child sexual abuse) (Z. Doğan, Trans.). Istanbul: Sistem; 2010.
- Ersoy ÖA, Özkan HK. Examining preschool children's knowledge about sexual abuse: a qualitative perspective. *Cumhuriyet Int J Educ.* 2017;6(1):123.
- Ige OK, Fawole Ol. Preventing child sexual abuse: parents' perceptions and practices in urban Nigeria. *J Child Sex Abus.* 2011;20:695–707.
- Durualp E, Aral N. Investigation of the effect of play-based social skills training on the social skills of six-year-old children. *Hacettepe Univ J Fac Educ.* 2010;(39):160–72.
- Kemp J. No: How one simple sentence can transform your life (No: How one simple word can transform your life) (H. Güner, Trans.). Istanbul: GOA; 2006.
- Karakaya Z. Child philosophy and child education. *J Religious Sci Acad Res.* 2006;6(4):23–37.
- Edwards KM, Siller L, Leader Charge L, Bordeaux S, Leader Charge D, Herrington R. Efficacy of a sexual abuse prevention program with children on an Indian reservation. *J Child Sex Abus.* 2020;29(8):900–10.
- Yavuzer Y, Karatas Z, Civilidag A, Gundogdu R. The role of peer pressure, automatic thoughts and self-esteem on adolescents' aggression. *Eurasian J Educ Res.* 2014;54:61–78.
- Chen JQ, Chen DG. Awareness of child sexual abuse prevention education among parents of Grade 3 elementary school pupils in Fuxin City, China. *Health Educ Res.* 2005;20(5):540–7.
- Ceylan Ş, Çetin A. Investigation of parents' views on sexual education of children attending preschool education institutions. *H.U. J Fac Health Sci.* 2015;3(2):41–59.
- Altundağ S. A different approach in preventing sexual abuse: child awareness, don't touch my body! *Ankara J Health Sci.* 2020;9(1):10–8.
- Öztürk GM, Gök NF. Investigation of sexuality education elements in children's picture books for early childhood. *J Educ Life.* 2021;35(2):403–19.
- Altunışık R, Coşkun R, Bayraktaroğlu S, Yıldırım E. Research Methods in Social Sciences. SPSS Applied. 4th edition Sakarya: Sakarya Bookstore; 2005.
- Berkmen B, Seçim G. Scale for measuring awareness of child sexual abuse for parents: a scale development study. *Curr Psychol.* 2019;1–13.
- Çömez İkican T, Küçük L. Child sexual abuse knowledge/attitude scale for parents: reliability and validity of the Turkish version. *Perspect Psychiatr Care.* 2021;57(2):791–9.
- Alzoubi FA, Ali RA, Flah IH, Alnatour A. Mothers' knowledge & perception about child sexual abuse in Jordan. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2018;75:149–58.
- Bozcan EÜ, Berkmen B, Koran N, Tatlıcalı E. Awareness of parents of children aged 4–6 years about abuse. *Folklore/Literature.* 2019;25(97):494–508.
- Rudolph J, Zimmer-Gembeck MJ. Parents as protectors: a qualitative study of parents' views on child sexual abuse prevention. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2018;85:28–38.
- Bayrak HU. Child abuse through the eyes of parents: a metaphorical study. *Soc Social Work.* 2019;30(2):376–89.
- Thapa T, Pun KM, Raut KB, Silwal K, Chaudhary RK. Awareness on girl child abuse among mothers of a selected community. *JNMA J Nepal Med Assoc.* 2018;56(213):866–70.
- Aktu Y, Dilekmen M. Cognitions about child abuse scale: parent form. *Curr Approaches Psychiatry.* 2021;13 Supplement 1:388–404.
- Sanberk I, Emen M, Kabakçı D. An investigation of socially advantaged and disadvantaged turkish mothers' views about training on preventing children from sexual abuse. *J Child Sex Abus.* 2017;26(3):288–307.
- Üstündağ A. Investigation of parents' attitudes towards sexual abuse prevention education. *Curr Perspect Social Sci.* 2022;26(1):118–29.
- Şenol FB. Examining the awareness of parents with preschool children about child neglect and abuse and their knowledge about the effects of abuse. *Electron J Social Sci.* 2022;21(82):559–71.

34. Kurtça TT. Parent education in child sexual abuse prevention programmes. *Curr Approaches Psychiatry*. 2022;14(2):247–54.
35. Pullins LG, Jones JD. Parental knowledge of child sexual abuse symptoms. *J Child Sex Abus*. 2006;15(4):1–18.
36. Plummer CA. The discovery process: what mothers see and do in gaining awareness of the sexual abuse of their children. *Child Abuse Negl*. 2006;30(11):1227–37.