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Exclusive enteral nutrition continues to be first line therapy for pediatric Crohn’s disease in the era of biologics
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Abstract
Background: Exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) has been shown to be more effective than corticosteroids in achieving mucosal healing without having their side effects.

Objectives: To determine the efficacy of EEN in terms of inducing clinical remission in newly diagnosed CD children and to study the efficacy of this therapeutic approach in improving the degree of intestinal mucosa inflammation.

Materials and methods: The medical records of patients with newly diagnosed Crohn’s disease treated with EEN were reviewed retrospectively. The degree of mucosal inflammation was assessed by fecal calprotectin (FC). Remission was defined as a PCDAI < 10.

Results: Forty patients (24 males) were included, the age at diagnosis was 11.6 ± 3.6 years. Of the 34 patients who completed the EEN period, 32 (94% per-protocol analysis) achieved clinical remission. This percentage fell to 80% in the intention-to-treat analysis. The compliance rate was 95%. Duration of EEN was 6.42 weeks (IQR 6.0–8.14). FC was significantly higher in patients with moderate and severe disease. Median baseline FC levels (680 μg/g) decreased significantly to 218 μg/g (p < 0.0001) after EEN. We found a statistically significant correlation between FC and PCDAI (rho = 0.727; p < 0.0001). Early use of thiopurines (<8 weeks) vs. subsequent use was not associated with better outcome during the follow-up.
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Conclusions: EEN administered for 6–8 weeks is effective for inducing clinical remission and decreasing the degree of mucosal inflammation. We did not find differences in terms of maintenance of remission in patients treated early with thiopurines.

© 2013 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Crohn’s disease (CD) is an idiopathic chronic inflammatory disorder. The natural course of Crohn’s disease is characterized by flare-ups alterned with periods of remission. Controlling intestinal inflammation is crucial for preventing progressive intestinal damage and the appearance of complications.1

The incidence of CD in children and adolescents is approximately 3 cases/100,000 inhabitants (range 1–8/100,000 inhab.) and this has increased in Spain and Europe during the last decade.2 Up to 20% of CD patients are diagnosed before the age of 18 years. There are differences in the form of onset, natural course, and treatment regimens between adults and children. Another key difference is delayed growth, up to 46% of children and adolescents diagnosed with CD had a decrease in their growth rate before the onset of any other symptoms, and only 12% had a normal growth rate at the time of diagnosis.3 This is not only found at diagnosis, it has a variable prevalence during follow-up.4 It is also important to remember that a chronic disease appearing during childhood is associated with considerable psychological morbidity that may influence personal relationships, psychossexual development, scholastic achievements and adherence to treatment.

There are a wide range of therapeutic objectives that need to be achieved in active disease; these include control of inflammation, mucosal healing, modifying disease progression, preventing the adverse effects of treatment and guaranteeing suitable growth and development.5,6 Exclusive enteral nutrition (EEN) has been shown to be more effective than corticosteroids, without their side effects, in achieving mucosal and transmural remission, a situation that determines better prognosis in the following years, lower hospitalization rate and less use of biological drugs.7–11

Calprotectin is a calcium-binding protein with antimicrobial properties. Calprotectin is released from the cytoplasm of activated neutrophils, and the fecal levels increase in bowel inflammation.12 Fecal calprotectin (FC) values have been correlated with endoscopic scores in adults and children with IBD. It is a non-invasive biomarker with high sensitivity and specificity that enables monitoring of inflammatory activity and prediction of clinical relapse.12–17
Objectives

The aims of this study were to determine the efficacy of EEN in terms of inducing clinical remission in newly diagnosed CD children and to study the efficacy of this therapeutic approach in improving the degree ofintestinal mucosainflammation using FC as a non-invasive inflammatory biomarker and to assess the effectiveness of concomitant medications. Another efficacy end point of this study was to determine whether early initiation of thiopurine treatment (before 8 weeks after diagnosis) vs. conventional introduction after relapse, improved long-term prognosis.

Materials and methods

This was an observational retrospective study that included all patients under 14 years of age with newly diagnosed CD in a single Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition Unit between January 2002 and December 2012, and who received EEN as first line therapy. The diagnosis of CD was based on established clinical, endoscopic, histological and radiological criteria. Patients diagnosed with ulcerative colitis, indeterminate colitis, eosinophilic colitis or infectious colitis were excluded.

All patients received a polymeric formula, Modulen IBD® or Resource IBD® (the same product with different name), both prepared the same way by mixing 1700 ml water with 400 g product to produce 2000 ml of formula (1 kcal/ml). The prescribed volumes were based on Schofield equation, which predicts resting energy expenditure. Feeds were gradually increased to target volumes in 3–5 days and patients were only allowed to drink water during treatment. EEN was given for a 6–8-week period.

The data collected were age, gender, family history of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), time from diagnosis and concomitant pharmacological treatment. Disease phenotype was determined according to the Paris classification.

All patients were assessed at the start and end of the EEN period, the variables analyzed were weight, height, body mass index (BMI), C-reactive protein (CRP), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), albumin, complete blood count (CBC), FC (Calprest®, Eurospital, Italy) and Pediatric Crohn’s Disease Activity Index (PCDAI). Weight and height were measured with the patient barefoot and in underwear. Samples for determination of fecal calprotectin were collected at home by the patient the day before and were delivered refrigerated to the laboratory for immediate analysis. Clinical remission was defined as PCDAI < 10 and response as a change of more than 12.5 points from baseline PCDAI after 6–8 weeks of EEN. Normal FC levels were considered to be those below 50 μg/g of feces. Weight, height and BMI z scores were calculated using data from Spanish growth charts.

Statistical analysis

Variables with normal distribution were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and those without normal distribution were expressed as median and interquartile range (IQR). Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to evaluate normality of the distribution. Student’s t-test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test were used for paired samples and Chi-square test was used to compare proportions. The estimation of correlations was performed using Spearman’s Correlation Coefficient. To compare levels of FC and PCDAI a Kruskal Wallis test was applied, if the hypothesis of equality was rejected, groups were compared using the Mann Whitney test with Bonferroni correction. Survival analysis was performed with the Kaplan–Meier method. Comparison of survival curves between groups was performed with a log rank analysis. We considered a p < 0.05 as statistically significant.

Results

Patient characteristics

Forty patients were included; 24 were male (60%) and the age at diagnosis was 11.6 ± 3.6 years (Table 1). Six patients had a family history of IBD (CD in 4 and ulcerative colitis in 2). Time from the onset of symptoms to diagnosis was 4.2 months (IQR 2.3–12.1); it was slightly lower in the patients with family history of IBD (4.7 months (IQR 2.4–12.2) vs. 3.5 months (IQR 1.4–8.5), p = 0.394).

Fecal calprotectin

Baseline FC levels were 680 μg/g (IQR 524–796) and decreased significantly to 218 μg/g (IQR 149–402), p < 0.0001 after EEN. We found a high correlation (Fig. 1) between FC and PCDAI (rho = 0.727; p < 0.0001). FC was significantly higher (p = 0.04) in patients with moderate and severe disease (Fig. 2).

Combination of EEN with pharmacological treatment

At the start of EEN, patients were receiving concomitant treatment with mesalazine (32.5%), metronidazole (17.5%) or both (45%) as adjuvant treatment (Table 1). Once the vaccination schedule had been checked and optimized, 27 of the 40 patients (67.5%) started treatment with azathioprine.
Table 1  Characteristics of patients treated with exclusive enteral nutrition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>PCDAIa</th>
<th>PCDAIb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age at diagnosis (years)</td>
<td>11.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of IBD</td>
<td>6/40 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time from onset to diagnosis (months)</td>
<td>4.2 (IQR 2.3–12.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paris classification</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>PCDAI</th>
<th>PCDAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>6 (15%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>5 (12.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>16 (40%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3 + L4 (extensive)</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>39 (97.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perianal (p)</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth retardation (G1)</td>
<td>11 (27.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment when EEN was started</th>
<th>Males</th>
<th>PCDAI</th>
<th>PCDAI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-ASA</td>
<td>13 (32.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole</td>
<td>7 (17.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-ASA and metronidazole</td>
<td>18 (45%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metronidazole and Azithromycin</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>1 (2.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCDAI, Pediatric Crohn’s Disease Activity Index; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; IQR, interquartile range; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.

* Remission <10; Mild 11–30; Moderate to Severe >30 points. Adapted from Ref. 21.

b Remission <10; Mild 10–27.5; Moderate 30–75.7; Severe >37.5 points. Adapted from Ref. 22.

at a dose of 2.5–3.0 mg/kg/day in a median time of 9 days (IQR 0–19). In two cases, azathioprine had to be suspended, one due to intolerance (abdominal pain and nausea) and another due to pancreatitis; both patients were switched to 6-mercaptopurine without incident.

Response to EEN

Six patients failed to complete the 6–8 weeks of EEN; two refused to continue after 2 weeks and another four received steroids after 3 weeks due to non-response. Except for one case requiring NG tube, all patients received EEN by mouth. Duration of EEN was 6.4 weeks (IQR 6–8.1). Of the 34 patients who completed the EEN period, 32 (94% per-protocol analysis) achieved clinical remission. This percentage fell to 80% in the intention-to-treat analysis. The compliance rate was 95%. There was a significant increase in weight, albumin and hematocrit levels together with a significant decrease in FC, CRP, ESR, WBC and platelets (Table 2) at the end of EEN.

Table 2  Clinical activities before and after EEN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before EEN</th>
<th>After EEN</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight z score</td>
<td>−0.68</td>
<td>−0.56</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean height z score</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>−0.47</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI z score</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCDAI</td>
<td>40 (IQR 28–47.5)</td>
<td>5 (IQR 0–5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC (µg/g)</td>
<td>680 (IQR 524–796)</td>
<td>218 (IQR 149–402)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/l)</td>
<td>33.9 (IQR 16.5–67.2)</td>
<td>3.1 (IQR 2.4–9.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR (mm/h)</td>
<td>29 (IQR 21.5–49)</td>
<td>11 (IQR 7–16)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/dl)</td>
<td>3.2 (IQR 2.7–3.6)</td>
<td>4.1 (IQR 3.7–4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin (g/dl)</td>
<td>11.4 (IQR 9.9–12.3)</td>
<td>12.8 (IQR 11.5–13.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>35.2 (IQR 31.7–37.7)</td>
<td>38 (IQR 35–39.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (×10³/µL)</td>
<td>10.6 ± 3.6</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (×10³/µL)</td>
<td>533 ± 140</td>
<td>443 ± 150</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCDAI, Pediatric Crohn’s Disease Activity Index; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; Hb, hemoglobin; Hto, hematocrit; WBC, white blood cells; IQR, interquartile range; BMI, body mass index.
EEN was well tolerated in all cases and no side effects were reported during the period of use. At the end of EEN, food was introduced progressively with good acceptance.

We found no statistically significant differences in the response rate according to mild or moderate-to-severe PCDAI21 (91% vs. 76%, p = 0.136), but we found differences in response rate using new PCDAI22 cut-off (90% mild, 100% moderate and 68.2% in severe CD; p = 0.05). In terms of CD location, statistically significant differences in response were found (L1 + L3 88% vs. L2 50%; p = 0.047), although only 5 patients had L2 CD location.

**Follow-up**

Nineteen of the 32 patients (59.4%) who achieved clinical remission had already started early (<8 weeks after starting the EEN) treatment with azathioprine (Table 3). At the end of the follow-up period (Fig. 3), 5 patients treated with azathioprine as maintenance therapy (26.3%) and 2 with no maintenance treatment (15.3%) continued in remission (difference of 11%; 95% confidence interval, −3.13 to 25.13%; p = 0.389). We did not find differences in time to relapse between groups (8.1 months (IQR 3–18) in early azathioprine group vs. 10 months (2.6–24.6) in late azathioprine group, p = 0.954). The median follow-up period was 2.9 years (IQR 1.8–4.8) in both groups.

**Discussion**

This study shows that EEN produces clinical and biochemical remission and weight recovery in new onset CD patients. Although we did not perform an endoscopy after the EEN, the significant decrease in the FC indicates a clear improvement in the degree of intestinal inflammation.12-15 Though the rate of mucosal healing achieved by EEN in some case reports are similar to that of anti-TNF-α and much higher than steroids9-11,24-26 they suffer from bias, as EEN is more likely to be administered to patients with recent onset or mild to moderate disease, while steroids are more likely to be administered to severe disease, and anti TNF to patients with longer duration uncontrolled disease. However, unlike steroids, EEN has a positive effect on linear growth with an increase in growth rate in the 6 months following the start of treatment and on bone mineral density.27-30

In a recent study with 34 patients,11 58% of the patients treated with EEN achieved endoscopic remission (measured by SES-CD31) and 21% showed transmural remission measured by MR enterography. These authors showed that early
Moreover, and Other 40 24 and in hypotheses, the response of intestinal inflammation in CD is not fully understood, there are a number of hypotheses, including modification of the intestinal flora, elimination of the uptake of food antigens, decrease in the intestinal synthesis of inflammatory mediators due to a reduced amount of fat in the diet or the supply of micronutrients to the inflamed gut.32

Endoscopic remission was associated with lower rates of endoscopic relapse, less use of anti-TNF-α and fewer hospitalisations one year after diagnosis. Response was better in those with less time since diagnosis. These same authors showed that induction of remission with steroids instead of EEN increased the risk of failure to respond or loss of response to anti-TNF-α.

The efficacy of EEN was first observed at the beginning of the 1970s, when it was found that the clinical and nutritional status of some patients improved after being treated with elemental formula while awaiting surgical treatment. Although the mechanisms of EEN on intestinal inflammation in CD are not fully understood, there are a number of hypotheses, including modification of the intestinal flora, elimination of the uptake of food antigens, decrease in the intestinal synthesis of inflammatory mediators due to a reduced amount of fat in the diet or the supply of micronutrients to the inflamed gut.32

Analysing the data from more than 25 pediatric studies, the overall efficacy of EEN is found to be 84% (95% CI, 81–87%), although with different enteral formulas, clinical remission criteria and treatment duration. The compliance rate is very high, reaching 90% (95% CI, 88–92%). No differences in the remission rate or compliance have been found in relation to the enteral formula used (polymeric, semi-elemental or elemental), with glutamine supplements or with medium chain triglycerides.33 In our series, only one type of formula was used, specific for CD, enriched with TGF-β, allowing no other food to be taken except water during the EEN period. Partial enteral nutrition (50% of calories in the form of conventional food) has been shown to be less effective than EEN.34 Other approaches, such as allowing up to 20% of daily estimated calories coming from other foods, have not shown lower efficacy.35

Initially it was believed that nutritional treatment was more effective in patients with ileal involvement than in those with disease located exclusively in the colon. There are currently no data to enable a correlation between response to EEN and disease phenotype.36 Moreover, in our series, we found significant differences according to the location although the number of patients with exclusive colonic disease was very small.

Although our study was not designed to address the impact of early thiopurine treatment on the outcome of the disease after induction we did not find differences in terms of maintenance of remission in patients treated early (<8 weeks) vs. late (>8 weeks), see Table 3, but patients treated earlier needed less steroids during the follow-up (p = 0.001). Our results are in concordance to other studies performed in children37 and in adults38,39 and against the results showed by Markovitz et al.40 in a pediatric study. Probably one of the reasons for this discrepancy between pediatric studies may be because none of the patients included in Markovitz40 study received induction therapy with EEN, and is already known that the achievement of mucosal healing with steroids treatment is unlikely. However, further studies are required before any evidence-based determination can
be made as to the most correct use of immunomodulator therapy in pediatric CD.

This study provides valuable information on the efficacy of EEN in pediatric CD. The most important limitations are the small number of patients, which probably underestimates the effect of thiopurines and the retrospective analysis of the data, making it difficult to obtain more robust information.

In conclusion, EEN administered for 6–8 weeks is effective in inducing clinical and biochemical remission, improving anthropometric parameters and decreasing the degree of mucosal inflammation. FC enables monitoring of response to EEN and control of the degree of mucosal inflammation. Patients treated early with thiopurines received less steroids during the follow-up. The EEN period is the key for updating the vaccination schedule and ordering the necessary investigations prior to starting immunosuppression treatment.
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