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Arthritis as presentation of familial Mediterranean fever

Arthritis como forma de presentación de fiebre mediterránea familiar

Dear Editor:

Familial Mediterranean fever (FMF) is the most prevalent autoinflammatory disease (AD) with a known genetic basis. All ADs involve alterations in innate immunity with inflammasome dysfunction. The inheritance pattern of FMF is autosomal recessive, although there are descriptions of a few mutations that show an autosomal dominant behaviour. The mutations are located in the MEVF gene, which encodes the pyrin protein, also known as marenostirín. There is a high incidence of FMF in populations along the Mediterranean coast (Jews, Arabs, Armenians and Turks). Onset occurs before 20 years of age in 80% of the cases. Attacks are characterised by fever and serositis or synovitis and last 1–3 days. The most widely criteria used for its diagnosis are the Tel Hashomer criteria (Table 1), based on which diagnostic criteria for children have been proposed. The first-line treatment is colchicine, both to avoid the occurrence of attacks and to prevent amyloidosis, or even partially revert it once it has established. Amyloidosis is the most frequent long-term complication.

In FMF, arthritis is usually acute, oligoarticular and non-erosive, and affects large joints in the lower limbs, especially knees and ankles. In this paper, we present two children with FMF in whom arthritis was the main clinical feature.

Case 1

The patient was a 5-year-old Caucasian girl that presented with bilateral inguinal pain of 4 days of duration and low-grade fever. There was no history of trauma.

Case 2

Caucasian girl, 13 years old, that since age 6 experienced intermittent inflammation in carpal, PIP and metacarpophalangeal joints as well as cervicalgia. Although the patient did not meet the criteria for juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA) because inflammation did not last more than 6 weeks, treatment with oral corticosteroids and methotrexate was initiated, with little improvement. Several relatives on the mother’s side had a diagnosis of seronegative rheumatoid arthritis. Given the family history and the intermittent joint symptoms, we requested a genetic test that detected the

Familial Mediterranean fever may present as episodes of joint inflammation and be initially confused with septic arthritis or later in its course with JIA, as we observed in the cases we are presenting. Usually it can be distinguished in that arthritis is episodic, accompanied by fever, and leaves no sequelae, although in some cases arthritis of the hip can be chronic and destructive (5%). Family history, ethnicity, the detection of mutations and a favourable response to colchicine support the diagnosis of FMF.

In published case series, arthritis has been the presenting complaint in 16% of patients with FMF, and 31–59% have had joint involvement during the course of the disease. In 70%, joint involvement was monoarticular, in 26% oligoarticular and in 4% polyarticular. The most frequently affected joint was the knee (63%), followed by ankles (42%), elbows (15%), wrists (17%), small joints of the hand (5–10%) and sacroiliac joints (1%).

We would like to emphasise the importance of correctly diagnosing patients with arthritis as a presenting feature of FMF to avoid unnecessary tests and treatments, and to allow prompt initiation of appropriate treatment.
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Table 1 Tel Hashomer criteria for the diagnosis of familial Mediterranean fever.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Supportive criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Typical attacks*:</td>
<td>1–3 incomplete attacks in 1 or more of the following:</td>
<td>1. Family history of FMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Peritonitis (generalised)</td>
<td>1. Abdomen</td>
<td>2. Ethnic origin associated with FMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pleuritis (unilateral) or pericarditis</td>
<td>2. Chest</td>
<td>3. Age &lt;20 years at disease onset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Monoarthritis (hip, knee, ankle)</td>
<td>3. Joint</td>
<td>4–6 features of attacks:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Favourable response to colchicine</td>
<td>5. Spontaneous remission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6. Symptom-free interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Transitory elevated acute-phase reactants (leukocytes, ESR, SAA and/or fibrinogen)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8. Episodic proteinuria/haematuria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9. Unproductive laparotomy or removal of white appendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10. Consanguinity of parents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagnosis requires at least 1 major criterion or 2 minor criteria, or at least 1 minor criterion plus 5 or more supportive criteria, or at least 1 minor criterion and 4 criteria of the first 5 supportive criteria.

ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FMF, familial Mediterranean fever; SAA, serum amyloid A.

* Typical attacks: recurrent (≥3 of the same type); febrile (rectal temperature ≥38°C) and short (duration between 12 h and 3 days).
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Figure 1 Pelvis MRI. (a) Inflammatory response of the articular capsule of the left hip with contrast enhancement in a fat-suppressed T1 image. (b) Increase in joint fluid in the left hip in T2-weighted image.
Review of published cases of hepatic choristoma. Differential diagnosis of umbilical cord masses

Revisión de casos publicados de coristoma hepático. Diagnóstico diferencial de masas de cordón umbilical

Dear Editor:

We present the case of a primigravida, 33 years of age, with no medical or surgical history of interest. Ultrasound examination at 28 weeks of gestation confirmed the presence of a 28 mm × 17 mm mass in the umbilical cord, with an umbilical cord diameter of 16 mm, and a small anechoic area with thin walls suggestive of hernial oedema.

The patient had a normal delivery at 40 + 1 weeks of gestation, giving birth to a girl that weighed 3290 g and had an Apgar score of 9/10.

At birth, we observed an umbilical cord with a 4.5 cm × 2 cm × 1.8 cm bulge protruding from its normal insertion site at the abdomen, lined with amniotic membrane through which could be seen a firm, wine-red mass located 1 cm away from the navel that was irreducible, with no accompanying symptoms (Fig. 1). Based on the examination findings, we considered the differential diagnosis of abdominal wall defect and umbilical cord mass.

The surgery involved the opening of the amniotic membrane in layers, revealing a solid mass in direct contact with the umbilical vein and with an intraperitoneal communication with the round ligament of the liver. The vascular structures and remnants of the umbilical cord were ligated, the mass fully resected, and the umbilical defect closed. There were no postoperative complications and the patient was discharged 5 days after the surgery.

The mass was submitted to the anatomical pathology department for investigation, and cross examination showed a well-defined brownish nodule measuring 2.5 cm, with a microgranular appearance upon sectioning that corresponded to hepatic tissue with preserved architecture at the histological level. The tissue surrounded a cyst-like structure consisting of gallbladder wall tissue that was compatible with a hepatobiliary choristoma.

Ectopic liver is a rare condition described as the presence of hepatic tissue outside the liver and with no hepatic connection. The literature has reported the gallbladder as the most common location of ectopic liver, and it can also be found in the thorax, pancreas, spleen, hepatic ligaments, pylorus, greater omentum, oesophagus, gastric mucosa, adrenal cortex, retroperitoneum, pericardium, placenta and umbilical cord.

Several theories attempt to explain the appearance of ectopic liver in locations other than the gallbladder, such as the development of an accessory lobe that loses its connection with the main liver body, the migration of part of the pars hepatica to other sites where ectopic tissue then develops, or the trapping of hepatocytes by the adjacent mesenchyma during the formation of the liver.

---