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Rumination syndrome: Diagnostic and therapeutic difficulties of a not so uncommon disorder
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Abstract
Introduction: Rumination syndrome is an uncommon gastrointestinal functional disorder that may be difficult to diagnose, as not many physicians are aware of this condition. In many cases, patients undergo numerous tests and are prescribed several treatments based on erroneous diagnoses. When the correct diagnosis is eventually made, therapy for the syndrome can be difficult and complex because of its multifactorial nature. The aim of this study was to present our experience with this condition, by presenting an analysis of the clinical, diagnostic, and therapeutic data of our patients.
Patients and method: A prospective and retrospective study was conducted on all cases of rumination syndrome diagnosed between January 2010 and May 2016 in patients attending the Paediatric Gastroenterology Departments of two hospitals: Consorci Sanitari de Terrassa and Hospital Materno-Infantil Vall d’Hebron (Barcelona, Spain).
Results: The analysis included 12 patients, with a mean age at the onset of symptoms of 9 years and 1 month, and the mean time period to make the diagnosis was 2 years and 3 months. A mean of 8.1 complementary tests were carried out before establishing the diagnosis. In 10 of the 12 patients, some type of treatment had been given before the diagnosis of rumination syndrome, but was unsuccessful in all cases. Ten of our patients underwent the novel, experimental biofeedback therapy.
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Introduction

Rumination syndrome is an uncommon functional gastrointestinal disorder defined by the current Rome IV criteria as repeated regurgitation or expulsion of food that begins soon after ingestion of a meal, does not occur during sleep, is not preceded by retching or nausea and occurs in the absence of any known structural disease or eating disorder \(^1\) (Table 1). The food may then be rechewed, expelled or reswallowed by the patient.\(^2,3\)

It may be associated with other complaints, such as abdominal pain, abdominal distension, heartburn, headache, dizziness and sleeping difficulties.\(^1,3\)

In the past it was believed that it was more prevalent in patients with some form of intellectual disability, but it is currently known that it may occur in patients of any background. It may present at any age, and adolescents and women are the groups at highest risk.\(^1,3\)

The prevalence of this disorder is unknown, as rumination is often kept hidden, so that parents may be unaware of the problem and thus not seek medical help.\(^1,4\)

Table 1 Diagnostic criteria\(^a\) for rumination syndrome (Rome IV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Must include all of the following:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repeated regurgitation and rechewing or expulsion of food that:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Begins soon after ingestion of a meal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not occur during sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not preceded by retching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After appropriate evaluation, the symptoms cannot be fully explained by another medical condition. An eating disorder must be ruled out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Criteria fulfilled for at least 2 months before diagnosis.
Source: Hyams et al.\(^1\)

Rumination results from various aetiological and pathogenic factors. On one hand, there is an increase of infragastric pressure due to the voluntary but inadvertent contraction of abdominal and intercostal muscles, associated with the simultaneous relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter. There is also evidence suggesting
that at the same time, the gastroesophageal junction is
displaced into the thorax, all of which would explain the
retrograde flow of gastric contents into the oesophagus.1-5

Although rumination is often triggered by stressors, this
is not the case in many patients. An intercurrent infec-
tious process may cause nausea and ‘vomiting’ that do not
disappear when the infection is resolved. In other cases
a traumatic psychosocial event, such as depression, anxi-
ety disorder, obsessive-compulsive disorder, developmental
delay, attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder or another
psychiatric disorder may be recognised at the onset of
rumination.1-4

The diagnosis may be challenging in some cases due to
the lack of awareness of this disorder by physicians. Multiple
diagnostic tests may be performed, most of them with nor-
mal findings, and different treatments prescribed that are
usually ineffective, leading to delayed or incorrect diagnosis
in most patients.2,3 As a result, families experience con-
siderable anxiety, the patient experiences negative effects of
avoidable treatment, and health care costs rise.

Direct observation of rumination episodes while the child
eats is of vital importance and may be crucial in making
the correct diagnosis avoiding the use of unnecessary diagnostic
tests or treatments.

The differential diagnosis includes various gastrointesti-
nal disorders, such as gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
(GERD), gastroparesis, achalasia or bulimia nervosa, among
others,1,2,4 although none of these diseases meet the Rome
IV criteria for rumination disorder.1

Treatment is difficult and complex due to the multi-
factorial nature of the disorder, and usually involves both
medical and behavioural interventions, most of them of
limited efficacy.1-4

The aim of our study was to present our experience with
this disorder, analysing data on clinical manifestations, diag-
nostic tests, prescribed treatments and their outcomes.

Patients and methods

We conducted a retrospective descriptive study of all cases
of rumination syndrome diagnosed in patients aged less than
18 years between January 2010 and May 2016 managed at
the paediatric gastroenterology units of the Consorci San-
itari de Terrassa centres and the Hospital Universitari Vall
d’Hebron.

We analysed the following variables: age of onset, time
elapsed from onset to definitive diagnosis, number of diag-
nostic tests performed and treatments received, and, last of
all, the presence of comorbidities or factors that may have
acted as triggers for the disease.

In the statistical analysis, we summarised qualitative
variables as absolute frequencies and percentages, and
quantitative variables as means.

Results

We collected data for a total of 12 patients: 8 male (66.6%)
and 4 female (33.3%). The mean age at onset of symptoms
was 9 years and 1 month, with a mean time to diagnosis of
2 years and 3 months (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age at onset of symptoms</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10 years and 1 month</td>
<td>12 years and 4 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>14 years and 5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15 years and 8 months</td>
<td>17 years and 2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9 years and 6 months</td>
<td>13 years and 7 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 years</td>
<td>15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12 years and 7 months</td>
<td>13 years and 5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4 years</td>
<td>9 years and 1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13 years and 4 months</td>
<td>13 years and 5 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4 years and 7 months</td>
<td>8 years and 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>10 years</td>
<td>11 years and 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4 years and 4 months</td>
<td>4 years and 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>3 years and 7 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The diagnostic tests performed in all patients included
blood tests (complete blood count, urea, creatinine,
electrolyte panel, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine
aminotransferase, gamma-glutamyl transferase, alkaline
phosphatase, total and direct bilirubin, glucose, total pro-
tein, albumin, ammonia, lactate, immunoglobulins, free T4,
thyroid-stimulating hormone, ferritin, erythrocyte sedimen-
tation rate, C-reactive protein, blood gases and coagulation
factors) and upper GI and small bowel series (UGI/SI). The
presence of Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) was assessed
through a breath test or stool antigen test in 7 patients
(58.3%). In 3 patients (25%), pH or multichannel intralu-
minal impedance monitoring was performed to rule out
GERD. Three patients (25%) were assessed by antrodu-
odenal manometry and 8 (66.6%) by upper gastrointestinal
endoscopy. Such supplementary tests were performed in all
patients but one, in addition to other diagnostic tests that
are not specified in Table 3: detection of specific IgE by
RAST and/or prick test for assessment of food allergies,
coeliac disease workup, measurement of faecal calpro-
tectin, abdominal X-ray and/or ultrasound examination,
abdominal CT and/or MRI, gastric emptying scan, head CT
and/or MRI.

The mean number of diagnostic tests performed before
the diagnosis was made was 8.1, with a maximum of 13
in 2 patients. One of these two patients had received an
initial diagnosis of eosinophilic oesophagitis confirmed by
endoscopy, and the other a diagnosis of recurrent nonspe-
cific abdominal pain.

We ought to highlight the regrettable fact that in some
patients, the same diagnostic tests were performed repeat-
edly, despite having clearly normal results. On the other
hand, it is also worth noting that in one patient, only 2
diagnostic tests were performed (blood panel and UGI/SI),
possibly because this was one of the latest cases diagnosed,
when our degree of suspicion based on clinical manifesta-
tions alone was higher.

As can be seen in Table 4, some type of treatment
was tried before or after the definitive diagnosis in 10
patients, and was ineffective in all: 9 patients received
prokinetic agents (domperidone in 8 and metoclopramide
in 1), 8 patients received proton pump inhibitors, 5 made
dietary changes, going on empirical exclusion diets due to
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Table 3  Diagnostic tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blood tests</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. pylori breath or stool antigen test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGI/SI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH or impedance monitoring</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manometry</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI endoscopy</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other(1)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Other: RAST or prick test for food allergies, coeliac disease workup, faecal calprotectin, abdominal X-ray, abdominal ultrasound, abdominal CT/MRI, gastric emptying scan, head CT/MRI.

Table 4  Treatments performed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>PPI</th>
<th>Prokinetic agent</th>
<th>H. pylori eradication</th>
<th>Empirical diet</th>
<th>Psychotherapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>OCA 14 days</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>OCA 10 days</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>OCA 10 days</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suspicion of some type of food intolerance, 3 patients received triple therapy for H. pylori eradication (omeprazole, clarithromycin and amoxicillin [OCA]) following detection of the pathogen by indirect methods (breath test or stool antigen test), and 4 patients received psychotherapy.

Patients received a mean of 2.4 different treatments. Only 2 of the patients in the study did not receive any treatment, as the clinical manifestations were specific enough for diagnosis.

Ten patients tried a new experimental treatment based on the use of biofeedback to develop control of abdominalthoracic muscles.

Of the 10 patients treated with biofeedback, 7 improved after the 3 initial sessions, an improvement that was sustained at 3 and 6 months in three of them. The remaining patients are still being treated with this modality, so we do not have the corresponding outcome data.

As for other diagnosed disorders and/or potential triggers, 10 patients received diagnoses of concomitant disorders before that of rumination syndrome, and 2 of these patients received 2 prior diagnoses each (Table 5).

There was no evidence of neurologic, psychiatric and/or intellectual problems in any of the patients, and a stressor that may have triggered the syndrome was only identified in 5, although a causal association could not be established objectively (Table 5).
Discussion

Rumination syndrome is a functional gastrointestinal disorder whose prevalence is largely unknown. It may have onset at any age, although certain age groups, such as adolescents, appear to be at higher risk. There is also a higher risk in the female sex.1-4 Interestingly, the demographic characteristics of our patients diverged from those described in the previous literature as regards both sex and age, with a predominance of the male sex and onset during childhood in most patients.

This is a complex disorder whose pathophysiology is not yet well understood and where physiological, sensory and/or psychological factors contribute to the onset and persistence of symptoms, potentially causing significant impairment. One of the most widely accepted pathophysiological theories proposes that rumination results from a voluntary and learned relaxation of the diaphragm which, combined with conscious but involuntary contractions of abdominal muscles, generates an increase in postprandial gastric pressure that overcomes the resistance of the lower oesophageal sphincter to the retrograde flow of contents.1,5

A specific trigger, such as an intercurrent disease or psychosocial stressor, may often precede the onset of rumination symptoms, something that occurs in other functional gastrointestinal disorders. Infections or stress seem capable of altering the gastrointestinal tract, making it more vulnerable to hyperalgesia and dysfunction in the long term. Currently, the general profile of patients with rumination syndrome is not well understood, and there is a broad variability in the psychological presentation of these patients. It is not clear whether psychological features are directly involved in the development of the disorder, or result from the underlying disease.1,4

None of the patients in our study had any kind of neurologic, psychological or intellectual problems, but stressor that may have triggered the syndrome was identified in 5. In two of them, rumination symptoms appeared following an episode of acute gastroenteritis, in one, after starting high school, in one following a period of being bullied in school, and in the last one after starting practicing a sport.

Due to the rarity of the disease and a limited knowledge of its clinical presentation on the part of clinicians, patients are misdiagnosed and are often subjected to tests and treatments that are unnecessary, invasive and costly.2,3 Delays in diagnosis and treatment may lead to the development of complications, such as weight loss, malnutrition, electrolyte imbalance and functional impairment. There are also emotional consequences of incorrect diagnosis, such as distress and anxiety.2,3

The diagnosis of rumination syndrome is based on the Rome IV criteria.1 As occurs in most other functional gastrointestinal disorders, rumination is a diagnosis of exclusion in which a thorough history taking is essential, as it may be the only means required to diagnose this disorder, without need for specific diagnostic tests.2,4 The evaluation must focus on the identification of potential underlying infection, malignancy, metabolic and/or structural disorder before diagnosing rumination syndrome. Furthermore, rumination needs to be differentiated from other gastrointestinal diseases that also present with regurgitation or vomiting as the main symptom, such as GERD, achalasia, gastroparesis and/or cyclic vomiting syndrome.1,2,4

The importance of direct observation of rumination episodes while the patient eats should not be disregarded. However, in cases where the diagnosis is still uncertain, there are two tests that can provide objective data on rumination episodes and support the diagnosis.1,5

Antroduodenal manometry consists in the measurement of intraluminal changes through a catheter inserted orally through the stomach and small intestine, and is one of the techniques that may be of use in the diagnosis of rumination. The resulting manometric pattern is characterised by a sudden and brief increase in pressure (peaks termed R waves) generated by the contraction of abdominal wall muscles and preceding the retrograde flow of gastric contents into the oesophagus.1,5

Multichannel intraluminal impedance associated to high-resolution manometry in the postprandial period can detect increases in abdominal pressure (manometry) immediately preceding the movement of a retrograde bolus into the oesophagus (impedance) during rumination episodes.1,5

The most salient finding as regards the diagnostic tests performed in the cases under study is that every single patient was subjected to at least one test that was not needed for the diagnosis of rumination, while only 3 patients were eventually assessed by antroduodenal manometry after performance of other tests that were unnecessary.

It is also worth reiterating that some of our patients, as described in the previous literature, had the same diagnostic test done on several occasions despite obtaining normal results, so that they were subjected to multiple avoidable tests.

When it comes to other diagnoses, most of our patients received diagnoses of other disorders before the diagnosis of rumination syndrome, such as GERD, H. pylori infection, eosinophilic oesophagitis, abdominal migraine and even superior mesenteric artery syndrome.

Pharmacological treatment is of little use in rumination syndrome. Most patients are initially treated with drugs that suppress acid production for possible GER, without symptom improvement.1 Other commonly used drugs are prokinetic agents, which are also ineffective.4 There is recent evidence that baclofen may be useful for treatment of rumination syndrome. Baclofen is a gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA₉) receptor agonist and has proven useful in increasing basal lower oesophageal sphincter pressure and inhibiting the sphincter’s transient relaxations, thus reducing the acid and non-acid reflux events in patients with GERD; an increased lower oesophageal sphincter pressure may be useful in patients with rumination, as it would increase the pressure that needs to be overcome for gastric contents to flow back to the mouth. The dosage in adults is of 10 mg before each meal.6,7

The treatment of rumination syndrome aims to modify the underlying mechanism that causes it, that is, the voluntary contraction of the abdominal wall, by abdominal retraining techniques. Biofeedback is a widely used method in medicine. A feedback system provides the patient with information on a physiological activity that the patient is performing incorrectly unconsciously but voluntarily, so that the patient can correct it consciously and voluntarily. The use of biofeedback for treatment of rumination consists in
monitoring abdominothoracic muscular activity by means of electromyography and training the patient to relax abdominal and intercostal muscles to prevent further episodes in the course of 3 sessions.\textsuperscript{5,6}

At present, research is being conducted on the experimental use of electromyography-guided control of abdominal muscles in patients with rumination. This biofeedback method can help develop voluntary control of the contraction of the muscles involved in rumination episodes through diaphragmatic breathing exercises. The results obtained to date in children as well as adults have been encouraging.\textsuperscript{5,6}
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