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Abstract A progressive increase in the incidence of infections caused by multidrug-resistant microorganisms is being reported. Among these resistant microorganisms, the main threats are extended-spectrum β-lactamase-, AmpC-, and carbapenemase-producing Gram-negative bacilli, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium. To address this important problem, it is essential to establish pediatric Antimicrobial Stewardship programs, perform active epidemiological surveillance and develop an adequate infection control policy. The therapeutic approach of these infections is often complex,
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Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance is currently one of the main problems in public health. The prevalence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) bacteria has increased significantly in recent decades, and the World Health Organization (WHO) has included antimicrobial resistance in its list of 10 global threats to public health.

Infections by MDR organisms are particularly important in health care settings. However, they also have increased in frequency in community settings. These infections have a poorer prognosis due to the delay in initiation of appropriate antibiotic therapy and the need for alternative antibiotics, which are usually less effective and are less safe.

The risk factors for infection by MDR bacteria are previous colonization, prior broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy, prolonged hospitalization, admission to intensive care, immunosuppression or the use of invasive medical devices. Measures for infection control in inpatients (such as hand hygiene, isolation of patients colonised by MDR bacteria) and antimicrobial stewardship programmes are essential strategies in addressing this emerging public health problem.

The treatment of infections by MDR bacteria may also involve the use of antimicrobials for which there is less information, some have been used for decades (e.g. colistin or fosfomycin), while others have been authorised recently (e.g. tigecycline, ceftolozane-tazobactam or ceftazidime-avibactam), with little evidence available regarding their use in paediatric population. Most of the current evidence comes from observational studies conducted in adults and clinicians frequently need to resort to the off-label use of the few available antimicrobials.

Treatment must be individualised taking into account the severity of the disease, the characteristics of the patient, the source of the infection and the antimicrobial susceptibility profile of the isolated bacteria. In addition to antimicrobial treatment, it is also essential to provide supportive care and source control of the infection, whenever possible. Generally, we recommend consultation with experts any time a MDR strain is isolated from a clinical sample. Tables 1 and 2 list the doses of the antibiotics used most frequently for treatment of MDR bacterial infections. The duration of antibiotic therapy should be determined based on the best available evidence.

In 2017, the WHO developed a priority list of bacteria to guide the research and development of new antibiotics. Carbapenem-resistant (CR) Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Enterobacteriales resistant to carbapenem or third generation cephalosporins led the list in the critical level. Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium and methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) were given high priority. This position statement focuses on the management of infections by these bacteria, whose treatment currently poses a challenge in paediatric clinical practice. In the case of Enterococcus spp. due to their particularities in terms of intrinsic and acquired resistances, we will address the most frequent phenotypes.
Table 1  Dosage of the main antimicrobial agents used in paediatrics for treatment of infection by multidrug-resistant Gram-positive cocci.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antimicrobial</th>
<th>Standard dose</th>
<th>Specific dose(^a)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin (IV)</td>
<td>≥1 mo: 100-200 mg/kg/day q6h (max: 12 g/day).</td>
<td>In case of severe infections (e.g. meningitis or endocarditis): 300-400 mg/kg/day q4-6 h (max: 12 g/day).</td>
<td>Consider use of the highest dose q4h (max 24 g/day) in infections by <em>Enterococcus</em> spp. that do not produce β-lactamases with a MIC 16-64 mcg/mL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vancomycin (IV)</td>
<td>≥1 mo: 45 mg/kg/day q6-8h (initial max dose: 4 g/day).</td>
<td>15 mg/kg/6h (initial max dose: 4 g/day) in bloodstream infections, meningitis, OAI or <em>S. aureus</em> with vancomycin MIC &gt; 1 mg/L.</td>
<td>Target plasma concentration: trough &gt; 10 mcg/mL. In case of severe infections or <em>S. aureus</em> with a vancomycin MIC &gt; 1 mg/L: 15-20 mcg/mL.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teicoplanin (IV)</td>
<td>≥2 mo: 10 mg/kg/dose q12 h (3 doses) and then q24h</td>
<td>Consider 12 mg/kg/dose for severe infections, bloodstream infections or endocarditis (max: 800 mg/dose).</td>
<td>Low penetration in CSF. Consider alternatives in cases with unpredictable plasma concentrations (e.g. severe hypoalbuminaemia or chronic kidney failure).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2 mo: loading dose of 16 mg/kg on day 1 followed by 8 mg/kg/24h. Max: 400 mg/dose.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftaroline (IV)</td>
<td>IV infusion over 1 hour:</td>
<td>In children aged ≥6 y and with CF and respiratory exacerbations, consider 15 mg/kg/8 h (max: 600 mg/dose).</td>
<td>Approved for treatment of SSTIs and CAP.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;33 kg: 600 mg/12 h.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;2 y: 12 mg/kg/8 h (max: 400 mg/8h).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2 mo-2 y: 8 mg/kg/8 h.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;2 mo: 6 mg/kg/8 h.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linezolid (IV or oral)</td>
<td>&gt;12 y: 600 mg/12 h.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Approved for treatment of SSTIs and CAP. Off-label use in paediatric age group in Europe.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≤12 y: 10 mg/kg/8 h (max: 600 mg/dose).</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cannot be used in pulmonary infections due to inactivation by pulmonary surfactant. Max dose has not been established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daptomycin (IV)</td>
<td>&gt;11 y: 5 mg/kg/24h.</td>
<td>Consider higher doses in case of severe infection, bloodstream infections or endocarditis: &gt;11 y: 8-10 mg/kg/24h. 7-11 y: 9 mg/kg/24h. 1-6 y: 12 mg/kg/24h.</td>
<td>Approved in children aged ≥12 mo for treatment of SSTIs and endocarditis/bloodstream infections due to <em>S. aureus</em>. Use in children &lt;12 mo not recommended except in the absence of alternatives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7-11 y: 7 mg/kg/24h.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not use for initial treatment of severe infections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-6 y: 10 mg/kg/24h.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotrimoxazole (Trimethoprim-</td>
<td>Trimethoprim 8-15 mg/kg/day q8-12 h (orally) or q6-12 h (IV). Max: trimethoprim 320 mg/6 h.</td>
<td>In case of OAI, consider the highest dose</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sulfamethoxazole (IV or oral)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clindamycin (IV or oral)</td>
<td>≥1 mo: 30-40 mg/kg/day q6-8h.</td>
<td>In case of moderate-severe infections, use the highest dose.</td>
<td>In case of in vitro resistance to erythromycin, we recommend performance of the D test to rule out inducible resistance to clindamycin, which would be a contraindication in case of a positive result.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1 mo: 15-20 mg/kg/day q6-8 h.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max: 1.8 g/day orally; 2.7 g/day IV.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) In many cases, the given specific dose corresponds to off-label use, so it should be given with caution.

CF, cystic fibrosis; CR, carbapenem-resistant; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; EMA, European Medicines Agency; GA, gestational age; IV, intravenous; MIC, minimum inhibitory concentration; OAI, osteoarticular infection; PNA, postnatal age.
Table 2  Dose of the main antimicrobials used in paediatrics for treatment of infections by drug-resistant Gram-negative bacilli.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Standard dose</th>
<th>Specific dose*</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem (IV)</td>
<td>≥1 mo: 20 mg/kg/8 h (max: 2 g/dose); &lt;1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA.</td>
<td>For meningitis: 40 mg/kg/8 h. For infection by CR GNB (meropenem MIC ≤ 8 mg/L): 40 mg/kg/8 h infusion over 3 hours.</td>
<td>Decreases plasma concentration of valproic acid&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Do not use in case of CNS infection. Decreases plasma concentration of valproic acid&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Off-label in age &lt;12 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem (imipenem- cilastatin) (IV)</td>
<td>≥3 mo: 15-25 mg/kg/6 h. &lt;3 mo and ≥1.5 kg of weight, age: 1-3 mo: 25 mg/kg/6 h. &lt;1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA. Max: 4 g/day.</td>
<td>Use the highest dose in severe infection and/or infection by <em>P. aeruginosa</em>.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ertapenem (IV)</td>
<td>&gt;12 y: 1 g/24 h. 3 m-12 y: 15 mg/kg/12 h (max: 500 mg/dose).</td>
<td>Consider 2 g/day and administration q12 h in children aged &gt;12 y for combined therapy against CR GNB.</td>
<td>Decreases the plasma concentration of valproic acid&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; Not active against <em>P. aeruginosa</em>. Use in combination with meropenem for treatment of CR GNB infections only if pandrug-resistant isolate (scarce data).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamycin (IV)</td>
<td>≥1 mo: 5-7.5 mg/kg/24 h. &lt;1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA.</td>
<td>Consider 7.5-10 mg/kg/24 h in patients with shock, pulmonary infection or cystic fibrosis.</td>
<td>Target plasma concentration: peak 6-12 μg/mL, trough &lt;2 μg/mL. Target plasma concentration: peak 20-35 μg/mL, trough &lt;5 μg/mL. We recommend a loading dose of 75 000-150 000 IU/kg in critically ill patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin (IV)</td>
<td>≥1 mo: 15-22 mg/kg/24 h. &lt;1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA.</td>
<td>Consider 22-30 mg/kg/day in patients with shock, pulmonary infection or cystic fibrosis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colistin (colistimethate sodium) &lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (IV)</td>
<td>75 000-150 000 IU/kg/day c/8-12 h (max: 10 800 000 IU/day).</td>
<td>Consider 150 000-250 000 IU/kg/day in patients with shock, pulmonary infection, severe UTI or cystic fibrosis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tigecycline (IV)</td>
<td>≥12 y: 50 mg/12 h. 8-11 y: 1.2 mg/kg/12 h (max: 50 mg/12 h).</td>
<td>Consider higher loading and maintenance dose in patients with pulmonary infection, severe UTI, bloodstream infections or shock: ≥12 y: 100-200 mg loading dose followed by 100 mg/12 h. 8-11 y: 2-3 mg/kg loading dose (max: 200 mg) followed by 2 mg/kg/12 h (max: 100 mg/12 h).</td>
<td>Restricted for children aged ≥8 y with infection by multidrug-resistant bacteria in the absence of other treatment options. Do not use in case of bloodstream infections or pneumonia, except as a last resort.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fosfomycin (IV)</td>
<td>&gt;12 y (&gt;40 kg): 12-24 g/day q6-8 h 1-12 y (10-40 kg): 200-400 mg/kg/day q6-8 h. 1-12 mo (&lt;10 kg): 200-300 mg/kg/day q8 h. &lt;1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA. Max: 8 g/dose; 24 g/day.</td>
<td>Consider using max dose in severe infections, especially those caused by strains with moderate susceptibility.</td>
<td>Monitor serum sodium concentrations and fluid balance due to high sodium content (330 mg per gram of fosfomycin) Do not use as monotherapy in infections by AmpC- or ESBL-producing strains.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam (IV)</td>
<td>≥1 mo: 120-150 mg/kg/day q8 h (max: 8 g/day).</td>
<td></td>
<td>Do not use as monotherapy in infections by AmpC- or ESBL-producing strains.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Standard dose</th>
<th>Specific dose&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin-tazobactam</td>
<td>&gt;12 y and/or ≥ 40 kg: piperacillin 2-4 g/dose q6-8 h.</td>
<td>Consider use of max dose and administration q6 h in severe or high-inoculum infections,</td>
<td>In treatment of infection by ESBL-producing Enterobacteriales with documented in vitro susceptibility, administer the max dose q6 h by extended infusion over 4 h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IV)</td>
<td>1 mo-12 y and/or &lt;40 kg: piperacillin 350-400 mg/kg/day q6-8 h.</td>
<td>considering extended infusion over 4 hours.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 1 mo: dose based on weight, GA and PNA. Max: 16 g/day piperacillin.</td>
<td>Consider extended infusion over 4 hours in severe or high-inoculum infections.</td>
<td>Approved for use starting at age 2 months.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime (IV)</td>
<td>≥ 2 mo: 50 mg/kg/dose q8 h (max: 2000 mg/dose) &lt; 2m (off-label): dose based on</td>
<td>Consider infusion over 3 hours in severe infections.</td>
<td>Currently approved by FDA starting at &gt;3 months. Not approved by EMA before age 18 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>weight, GA and PNA.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftazidime-avibactam</td>
<td>IV Infusion over 2 hours: 6-18 y: &lt;40 kg: 50 mg/kg of ceftazidime q8 h; &gt;40 kg:</td>
<td>Consider increasing the dose in severe lung infections: 40 mg/kg of ceftolozane q8 h</td>
<td>Currently not approved for age &lt;18 years. Dose based on data from phase I and II trials in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IV)</td>
<td>3-6 mo: 40 mg/kg of ceftazidime q8 h (max 2 g ceftazidime q8 h).</td>
<td>(max 2 g ceftolozane q8 h).</td>
<td>children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftolozane-tazobactam</td>
<td>IV infusion over 1 hour: &lt;18 y: 20 mg/kg of ceftolozane q8 h (max 1 g ceftolozane</td>
<td>Consider increasing the dose in severe infections: 40 mg/kg of ceftolozane q8 h (max 2 g</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IV)</td>
<td>q8 h).</td>
<td>ceftolozane q8 h).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> In many cases, the given specific dose corresponds to off-label use, so it should be given with caution.
<sup>b</sup> In Spain, it is marketed with the doses of colistimethate sodium (CMS) given in IU. In other countries it is marketed in mg of CMS or mg of colistin base activity (CBA). The conversion follows the formula: 1 000 000 IU of CMS= 80 mg of CMS= 30 mg of CBA.

Infections by Gram-positive cocci

**Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus**

The main mechanism of resistance is the acquisition of a new penicillin-binding protein, known as PBP2a, which is encoded by the mecA gene.<sup>11</sup> In microbiology laboratories, these strains are detected by testing the susceptibility to cefoxitin, by molecular detection of the mecA gene or by detection of PBP2a by immunochromatographic assay. This phenotype entails resistance to all β-lactam agents with the exception of the cephalosporins ceftaroline and ceftobiprole. Historically, there have been differences between the clones involved in community versus health care-associated infections, which differed in virulence and antimicrobial susceptibility, with community clones more frequently exhibiting susceptibility to clindamycin and production of the Panton-Valentine leukocidin toxin. However, in recent years, the introduction of community clones in hospitals and the spread of hospital clones to the community have blurred these differences.<sup>11</sup>

**Epidemiology**

In Spain, community-acquired MRSA infections in children were first reported in 2006. At present, these strains account for 5%-10% of all S. aureus infections in children, and for as many as 25% of cases of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP).<sup>12</sup> Most of the reported cases correspond to skin and soft tissue infections (SSTIs), followed in frequency by pneumonia and bloodstream infections.

Although in the past few years its prevalence has gradually decreased in other European countries, it has remained stable in Spain, with a prevalence of approximately 12% in blood culture isolates in children based on data from the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC).

The COSACO study has found a prevalence of colonization by MRSA in children in Spain of 1.4%, with 4.4% S. aureus isolates exhibiting methicillin resistance.<sup>13</sup> It is worth noting that 17% of S. aureus isolates were resistant to clindamycin, a proportion that increased to 26% in the case of MRSA.

**Treatment**

The first step should be adequate source control of the infection (Table 3). In case of persistent bacteraemia despite
**Table 3** Treatment of infections caused by methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>First-line treatment</th>
<th>Alternative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SSTI&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Clindamycin&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; or cotrimoxazole</td>
<td>Linezolid, vancomycin, teicoplanin, ceftaroline, daptomycin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Clindamycin&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;, vancomycin or linezolid</td>
<td>Ceftaroline, teicoplanin or cotrimoxazole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bloodstream infection and endocarditis&lt;sup&gt;d,e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vancomycin or daptomycin</td>
<td>Teicoplanin, linezolid or ceftaroline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAI&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Clindamycin or cotrimoxazole&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Vancomycin, linezolid or levofloxacin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNS</td>
<td>Vancomycin&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Linezolid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNS, central nervous system; OAI, ostertacular infection; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection. Consider the order of appearance in the table as the order of preference for selection.

<sup>a</sup> In case of severe SSTI, prioritise linezolid or clindamycin.

<sup>b</sup> If oral treatment is indicated, since there is no commercially available oral solution of clindamycin in Spain, cotrimoxazole is a better alternative.

<sup>c</sup> In case of severe pneumonia, prioritise vancomycin + clindamycin or linezolid.

<sup>d</sup> In case of persistent bacteremia: if previous treatment with vancomycin, switch to daptomycin. If previous treatment with daptomycin, add ceftaroline or fosfomycin. If the patient has prosthetic devices, consider adding rifampicin. Persistent bacteremia is defined as the persistence of positive blood cultures lasting 72 h or longer after initiation of adequate treatment (including central line removal) or the development of septic thromboembolic events or distant metastases of infection after 72 h of antibiotic therapy.

<sup>e</sup> In case of endocarditis over a prosthetic valve, it is recommended: (vancomycin or daptomycin) + rifampicin + gentamycin.

<sup>f</sup> In case of infection of an osteoarticular prosthesis with maintenance of the osteosynthesis material, add rifampicin. Some authors recommend adding it in a second phase targeted to treat the biofilm, after 7 days of initial treatment.

<sup>g</sup> Add rifampicin to vancomycin in infections with maintenance of foreign materials (e.g. ventricularperitoneal shunt) and consider its addition in all other cases. Rifampicin decreases the plasma concentration of linezolid by 30%, so this combination is not recommended.

correct treatment, an active search of metastatic foci should be performed.

Glycopeptide antibiotics (vancomycin or teicoplanin) are currently the first-line treatment for MRSA infections. However, their high binding to plasma proteins hinders their diffusion into the tissues. For this reason, it is essential to monitor their plasma concentrations to guarantee values that are adequate for treatment of severe infections. Unfortunately, in recent years there has been evidence of an increased minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of these antibiotics required for treatment of *S. aureus* isolates. In addition, there are concerns regarding the increased risk of treatment failure in strains with a vancomycin MIC of 1.5 mg/L or greater, so we recommend not using vancomycin if the MIC is 2 mg/L or greater, and in case of a MIC of 1.5 mg/L or greater (determined by Etest), we recommend considering alternatives after consulting with an expert.

Among the available options, clindamycin and linezolid inhibit toxin synthesis, so their use as monotherapy or in combination with other antimicrobials may be useful in case of infection by toxin-producing strains. Linezolid enters readily into tissue and allows sequential intravenous-to-oral therapy, although it can cause haematotoxicity and neurotoxicity with prolonged treatment.

Rifampicin exhibits excellent activity against biofilm, and its inclusion in the first-line antibiotic therapy strategy is recommended in case of *S. aureus* infections associated with joint prosthesis. Nowadays, cotrimoxazole maintains activity against most MRSA isolates. Furthermore, it is distributed in Spain in the form of oral solution, which is a good option in cases of mild or moderate infections.

In cases of bloodstream infections or endocarditis due to MRSA with a vancomycin MIC of 1.5 mg/L or greater, daptomycin is a suitable alternative. This antimicrobial has bactericidal activity, is approved for use in children aged more than 1 year and is administered as a single dose per day. One of its disadvantages is that it is inhibited by pulmonary surfactant.

Ceftaroline is a fifth-generation cephalosporin approved for use from birth for treatment of SSTIs and CAP, with an excellent safety profile and strong activity against MRSA, although the data on its use in children are still scarce.

**Enterococcus spp.**

*Enterococcus* genus is characterised by an intrinsic resistance to multiple antimicrobials, including nearly all cephalosporins, which makes treatment challenging. Furthermore, they can acquire additional resistance (for instance, to ampicillin or vancomycin), further reducing the treatment options.

*E. faecalis* is the most frequent bacteria involved in these infections and it is nearly uniformly susceptible to aminopenicillins, being ampicillin the treatment of choice. *E. faecium* is second in frequency and is usually resistant to ampicillin and susceptible to vancomycin. *E. gallinarum* and *E. casseliflavus*, much less frequent, are intrinsically resistant to vancomycin (although not to teicoplanin), but usually susceptible to aminopenicillins.

Another relevant aspect of *Enterococcus* spp. is their intrinsic low-level resistance to aminoglycosides due to a deficient transport to the cytoplasm of the bacteria. However, when combined with another antimicrobial that acts on the cell wall (e.g. a β-lactam or glycopeptide), there is an increase in the penetration of the aminoglycoside, with a synergistic bactericidal effect, that is necessary for the
treatment of severe infections (such as endocarditis, meningitis and bloodstream infections). *Enterococcus* spp. can acquire high-level resistance to aminoglycosides, in which case the synergistic effect disappears. The addition of ampicillin and ceftriaxone can also have a synergistic bactericidal effect, although only against *E. faecalis*.

Resistance to linezolid and daptomycin is currently very rare in *Enterococcus* spp. and mainly related to outbreaks in health care facilities or previous exposure to them.4

**Epidemiology**

The prevalence of vancomycin resistance in *E. faecium* isolates from blood cultures in patients aged less than 18 years in the 2011–2012 period in 12 European countries was of 8.3%. However, based on data reported by the ECDC, in recent years the prevalence of resistance to vancomycin in Spain in invasive isolates from all age groups has ranged from 0.1% to 0.3% for *E. faecalis* and from 1.8% to 2.5% for *E. faecium*, remaining stable.

**Treatment**

Whenever the isolates is susceptible to ampicillin, this should be the first-line treatment (Table 4).4 The alternative would be a glycopeptide (vancomycin or teicoplanin). In case of severe infections, such as endocarditis, meningitis or bloodstream infections, we recommend the combination of 2 antibiotics to seek bactericidal synergy.20 The most frequently used combinations are ampicillin with gentamycin or ceftriaxone for infections by *E. faecalis* and vancomycin with gentamycin for infections by *E. faecium*.

If the selected combination includes aminoglycosides, in vitro testing must be performed before starting treatment to assess for a potential high-level resistance by determining the MIC. In case of high-level resistance (gentamycin MIC ≥ 500 mg/L or streptomycin MIC ≥ 2000 mg/L), alternative agents must be selected, such as ampicillin + ceftriaxone in case of *E. faecalis*. If the strain is resistant to ampicillin and glycopeptides, possible alternatives include daptomycin or linezolid.

*E. faecalis* and *E. faecium* can develop resistance to vancomycin and teicoplanin mediated by the gene vanA, or to vancomycin not to teicoplanin mediated by the gene vanB. However, there have been reports of resistance to teicoplanin emerging during treatment with this antimicrobial, and therefore its use is not recommended for treatment of these cases. The resistance to vancomycin of *E. gallinarum, E. casseliflavus* and *E. flavescens* is intrinsic and is mediated by the gene vanC, which only confers resistance to vancomycin but not to teicoplanin, so the latter could be used for treatment against these species.17

**Infections by Gram-negative bacilli**

*Extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriales*

Extended spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) are enzymes that can hydrolyse and thus cause resistance or reduced susceptibility to penicillins, oximino-β-lactams (cefotaxime, ceftriaxone, cefazidime and cefepime) and monobactams (aztreonam), but not to cephamycins (cefotixin) or carbapenems. They are generally inhibited in vitro by different
Table 5  Treatment of infections by extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing Enterobacterales. Adapted with consent from Gutierrez-Gutiérrez B.8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of infection</th>
<th>Antimicrobials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-severe UTI†</td>
<td>Aminoglycosides, cotrimoxazole, amoxicillin-clavulanate, fosfomycin, cefoxitin or fluoroquinolones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-severe infectionsb</td>
<td>Low-intermediate inoculumc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe infections (severe sepsis or septic shock) or severe immunosuppression</td>
<td>High inoculumd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UTI, urinary tract infection.
† Non-severe UTI: absence of sepsis, septic shock and other complications (such as renal abscess).
‡ Consider sequential intravenous-to-oral therapy with any of the options included for non-severe UTI, according to the infection source, after improvement.
§ Low-intermediate-inoculum infections: central line-associated bloodstream infection with removal of the central line, skin infections (drained in case of abscess), deep infections (e.g. intraabdominal) drained correctly or UTI.
¶ High-inoculum infections: pneumonia, endocarditis, central nervous system infections and deep infections with inadequate drainage.
†† Consider using in case of piperacillin-tazobactam MIC ≤ 8 mg/L.
†‡ In case of pneumonia, if the piperacillin-tazobactam MIC is ≤4 mg/L, piperacillin-tazobactam could be used if recommended by an expert under close monitoring.
†§ De-escalation to piperacillin-tazobactam or ertapenem can be considered once the infection has been controlled.

β-lactamase inhibitors (such as clavulanic acid, tazobactam or avibactam), which helps differentiate them phenotypically from AmpC β-lactamases, which are only inhibited by avibactam.

Currently, the most common ESBLs are CTX-M type. Extended spectrum β-lactamase-producing strains usually contain other genes that confer resistance to aminoglycosides (mainly to gentamicin, and less frequently to amikacin), cotrimoxazole or fluoroquinolones, which further restricts therapeutic options.

Epidemiology

In recent years, there has been evidence of a substantial increase in the prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteralesin both hospital and community settings. A recent systematic review on ESBL-producing Enterobacterales isolates from paediatric blood cultures worldwide found an increase in the prevalence from 3.5% to 8% between 1996 and 2013.21

The prevalence in the paediatric age group in Spain exhibits a similar trend to the one observed globally. A study that analysed the epidemiology of community-acquired urinary tract infections (UTIs) in children aged less than 14 years in Spain in 2016 found a prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacterales of 3.2%.22 There are few data on infections outside the urinary tract in the paediatric population of Spain.

The most important factors associated to infection by ESBL-producing bacteria are previous hospitalization, recent surgery or recent antibiotic therapy, especially with third-generation cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones or carbapenems, and the presence of chronic disease.23 Furthermore, colonization by these strains could increase the risk of infection by them.24 A relevant phenomenon that is becoming increasingly known is the vertical transmission in mothers colonised by ESBL-producing Enterobacterales.25

Treatment

The selection of the antibiotic agent is based on the source and severity of the infection and the immune status of the patient (Table 5).8 Traditionally, carbapenems have been the antibiotics of choice for infections with this resistance profile. However, the increase in the prevalence of CR bacteria has underscored the need to identify carbapenem-sparing strategies to ensure a similar effectiveness without promoting the selection of resistant strains.

Although ESBL-producing bacteria often exhibit in vitro susceptibility to piperacillin-tazobactam, there have been reports of treatment failure, especially in cases of high-inoculum infections (pneumonia, undrained abscesses, etc). Data from observational studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of piperacillin-tazobactam, mainly in low-inoculum and non-severe infections and using an extended-infusion dosing strategy.9

The MERINO trial, which assessed mortality at 30 days from initiation of treatment for bloodstream infections caused by Enterobacterales resistant to third generation cephalosporins (Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae) did not demonstrate the non-inferiority of piperacillin-tazobactam compared to meropenem.26 However, several limitations of the study (such as deaths being mainly due to non-infectious causes, administration of piperacillin-tazobactam with a standard infusion protocol, etc) limit the generalizability of the results. A subsequent subanalysis found a similar mortality in case of bloodstream infections from a urinary source and non-severe infections, which was consistent with the findings of previous studies.8

Other antimicrobials (e.g. aminoglycosides, cotrimoxazole, amoxicillin-clavulanate, fosfomycin, cefoxitin or
fluoroquinolones) may be an option in case of non-severe UTI and as sequential intravenous-to-oral therapy with a switch to the oral route after achieving control of the source of infection. Ertapenem is also a good alternative to meropenem in some cases of infection by ESBL-producing Enterobacterales, as it decreases antibiotic pressure on *P. aeruginosa* in addition to the advantages involved in the longer intervals between doses.

### AmpC-producing Enterobacterales

AmpC β-lactamases can be chromosomal (intrinsic resistance) or encoded in plasmids (acquired resistance). *Enterobacter* spp. *Citrobacter freundii* complex, *Serratia* spp. *Providencia* spp. *Morganella morganii* and the species recently named *Klebsiella aerogenes* (previously known as *Enterobacter aerogenes*) all contain the gene for production of AmpC in their chromosomes and have intrinsic resistance to ampicillin, amoxicillin-clavulanate and first and second generation cephalosporins, but remain susceptible in vitro to third and fourth generation cephalosporins and piperacillin. The risk of induction of AmpC β-lactamase production is highest in *Enterobacter* species.27

In case of exposure to β-lactams, mainly cephalosporins and penicillins, the gene may be derepressed, leading to overexpression of the enzyme and producing the characteristic AmpC phenotype, which confers resistance to all β-lactams (except for cefepime, carbapenems and combinations with novel β-lactamase inhibitors, such as ceftazidime-avibactam). Plasmid AmpC β-lactamases can also be transferred between different Enterobacterales species, including those that do not have the AmpC gene in their chromosomes.

### Treatment

In case of severe infection by AmpC-producing bacteria, due to insufficient evidence, carbapenems are currently considered the most suitable option (Table 6).23 When feasible, targeted treatment with ertapenem could reduce antibiotic pressure on *P. aeruginosa*. Some non-carbapenem β-lactams, such as cefepime or piperacillin-tazobactam, are considered weak inducers, so they may be an alternative in certain circumstances with the use of high doses delivered through extended perfusion.28 We recommend against the use of piperacillin-tazobactam in high-inoculum infections or if the piperacillin-tazobactam MIC is greater than 8 mg/L, and against the use of cefepime in isolates for which the cefepime MIC is greater than 1 mg/L.29 Cefepime is usually not hydrolysed by AmpC β-lactamases, making it an excellent option. Several alternatives could be considered for treatment of non-severe UTI depending on the results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing (such as aminoglycosides, cotrimoxazole, fluoroquinolones, etc).

### Carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacteria

#### Epidemiology

The development of carbapenem resistance is one of the most alarming phenomena within antimicrobial resistance,
as it involves the loss of activity of a group of drugs with one of the broadest spectrums available. Furthermore, CR is frequently associated with resistance to other groups of antimicrobials (such as aminoglycosides or fluoroquinolones). In case of Enterobacterales, this resistance is usually due to production of carbapenemases, while the resistance of P. aeruginosa in Spain is usually due to non-enzymatic mechanisms (e.g. inactivation of porins and overexpression of efflux pumps).

Recent studies conducted in the United States have found an increase in the prevalence of infections by CR Gram-negative bacilli (GNB) in children, from 0% in 1999–2000 to 0.47% in 2010–2011 in the case of Enterobacterales, and from 9.4% in 1999 to 20% in 2012 in the case of P. aeruginosa. Current, the prevalence of CR Enterobacterales in Spain is low, amounting to 4% of all invasive isolates of K. pneumoniae in 2017 (ECDC). Most of these cases occur in adults, and in children they mainly occur in the context of hospital outbreaks. The most prevalent carbapenemase in Spain is currently OXA-48-type, followed by metallo-β-lactamases (MBLs) and KPC β-lactamases. Due to the low circulation of these strains in children, their epidemiology has not been accurately established, although there is evidence of a predominance of MBLs of VIM class. Infections by these microorganisms have a higher mortality, and the risk factors for contracting them are similar to those observed in case of ESBL-producing GNB.

### Treatment

Currently, it is recommended combination therapy with 2 or more antibiotics with demonstrated activity against the isolated microorganism in a majority of cases (Fig. 1). The INCREMENT study, conducted in adults, propose the use of monotherapy in infections with a lower risk of severe disease. However, due to several factors, including the scales used or the lesser knowledge of the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of many antibiotics in children, the extrapolation of these findings to the paediatric population poses a challenge. Table 7 presents the combinations that may be used depending on the source of infection. For non-severe infections monotherapy could be individually considered.

The use of optimised dosage of meropenem (double dose with extended infusion) achieves the desired pharmacodynamic target in isolates with a meropenem MIC of up to 8 mg/L, and has exhibited adequate effectiveness in observational studies. Therefore, we consider it the current treatment of choice, to be given in combination with a second antibiotic with demonstrated in vitro activity. In the
Table 7  Treatment of infections by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative bacilli based on the source of infection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of infection</th>
<th>Backbone</th>
<th>Combination of choice</th>
<th>Alternative combination</th>
<th>Other alternatives*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory Urinary</td>
<td>β-lactam Aminoglycoside</td>
<td>Fluoroquinolone β-lactam</td>
<td>Colistinb or aminoglycosideb</td>
<td>Fosfomycin or tigecyclineb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLABSI</td>
<td>β-lactam</td>
<td>Colistin</td>
<td>Fosfomycin or fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>Fluoroquinolone or tigecyclineb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intraabdominal</td>
<td>β-lactam</td>
<td>Tigecycline</td>
<td>Colistin</td>
<td>Fosfomycin or tigecyclineb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CLABSI, central line-associated bloodstream infection.

Antimicrobials in the table will be used following the algorithm presented in Fig. 1, taking into account in vitro susceptibility of the isolate. Consultation with experts is recommended in every case.

In the treatment of intraabdominal infections, all antimicrobials with the exception of tigecycline and meropenem must be combined with an antimicrobial with anaerobicidal activity (such as metronidazole).  

* Consider using if combinations of choice are not available.

b In case of use in these sources, we recommend optimising the dosage (see Table 2).

c In case of non-severe UTI (absence of sepsis, septic shock or other complications), consider using as monotherapy.

The new β-lactam-β-lactamase inhibitor combinations currently distributed in Spain (ceftazidime-avibactam and ceftolozane-tazobactam) have not been authorised for use in the paediatric population. However, phase II clinical trials have proven its safety and efficacy in children, and ceftazidime-avibactam has been recently approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for use in children aged more than 3 months. Consequently, its compassionate use could be considered in patients with isolates with meropenem MIC greater than 8 mg/L with demonstrated susceptibility to this antibiotic, especially in cases of severe infection. Ceftazidime-avibactam has demonstrated activity against OXA-48- and KPC-producing bacteria, but not against those that produce MBL carbapenemases, while ceftolozane-tazobactam is not active against any carbapenemase-producing bacteria, but is active against *P. aeruginosa* with carbapenem resistance that is not mediated by carbapenemases.

In case of extremely resistant isolates, with few treatment options, we recommend that the microbiology laboratory evaluate in vitro the synergistic activity of different combinations (e.g., aztreonam-avibactam, meropenem-ertapenem, meropenem-fosfomycin). The combination of aztreonam and avibactam (currently, of aztreonam with ceftazidime-avibactam, as the combination has yet to be marketed), has proven effective against some MBL-producing strains that are resistant to aztreonam. Thus, this could be an option for treatment against pathogens with this type of carbapenemases in the absence of other alternative treatments.

Although the current evidence on the use of inhaled antibiotics (e.g. colistin or tobramycin) is limited, they could be considered as an adjuvant to systemic antibiotic therapy in lung infections caused by CR GNB.

**Conclusion**

In recent years, there has been a progressive increase in the incidence of infections by MDR bacteria, and their treatment poses a significant challenge. To address this problem, it is essential to institute antibiotic stewardship programmes, active epidemiologic surveillance and adequate infection control protocols. The scarcity of therapeutic options, which is even higher in paediatric patients due to the scarce of clinical trials, makes the selection of an effective treatment difficult. The development of new antimicrobials will improve the prognosis of these infections. However, the rational use of antimicrobials is essential in order to preserve their effectiveness.
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