Levamisole in the treatment of nephrotic syndrome

Experiencia con levamisole en el tratamiento del síndrome nefrótico primario corticodependiente

To the Editor:

The use of levamisole in the management of nephrotic syndrome started soon after the authorisation of steroid therapy. It is an antihelminthic agent with immunomodulatory properties that are not well understood, and without immunosuppressive effects, unlike the rest of the drugs used in these patients. On the other hand, it is the least toxic and least expensive drug. In 2004, it was withdrawn from commercial markets due to the lack of clear indications and its infrequent use in humans. It has proven effective in some patients with steroid-dependent primary nephrotic syndrome as a steroid-sparing agent.

We conducted a retrospective descriptive study on the population of patients with nephrotic syndrome and high-dose steroid dependency given levamisole at the paediatric nephrology unit of our hospital between January 1, 2000 and December 31, 2017. Of the 104 cases of nephrotic syndrome we reviewed, we excluded 38% due to missing data. Levamisole was given to patients with steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome treated with high-dose prednisone (> 0.5 mg/kg/48 h). In some cases, this followed treatment with oral cyclophosphamide and in others it preceded administration of cyclophosphamide (second step treatment). A histopathological examination was not performed, as this diagnostic test is performed prior to initiation of the third step of treatment. We classified patient response to levamisole into 2 categories: “complete” when patients experienced no recurrences over at least 2 years of treatment, and “partial” when patients experienced 2 or fewer recurrences in 1 year, allowing discontinuation of steroid therapy. The drug was compounded in the prescribed dose in gel cap form at the hospital’s pharmacy. All patients received the standard dose of 2.5 mg/kg of body weight every 48 h, administered orally.

A total of 18 patients received levamisole, 10 girls and 8 boys, aged 2–6 years. Of these 18 patients, 12 (66.6%) responded to the treatment: 5 exhibited complete remission (27.7%) and 7 (38.8%) partial remission (Fig. 1). Treatment was discontinued after 2 years in patients that responded, but it had to be reintroduced in 7 out of the 12 due to recurrence. The mean duration of treatment was 4.3 years. One patient with levamisole dependence continued treatment through his transition to adult care. As for adverse reactions, only 2 patients developed transient urticaria attributable to levamisole that did not require discontinuation of the drug.

In recent years, few studies have assessed the efficacy of levamisole. Furthermore, it is inexplicably absent from most guidelines and clinical management protocols, except in France, and thus not assigned a specific timing in the stepwise management of nephrotic syndrome, unlike the rest of the treatment options. The few studies that have been published had study periods of at most 1 year, and usually did not have a prospective design, except for an interesting multicentre clinical trial published in 2018 with a 1-year follow-up. In this trial, 26% of patients treated with levamisole had not experience recurrences at 1 year, a percentage similar to the one found in our sample at 2 years (27.7%). Another study involved administration of higher doses (double) when patients did not respond to the standard dose, which had positive results.

When it comes to adverse reactions, in addition to urticaria, there have been reports of mild to moderate neutropenia, which did not occur in any of the patients in our sample.

In Spain, levamisole is available under the regulation applied to foreign drugs. At present, authorised drugs that are used off-label are requested according to this protocol

---

Fig. 1 Flowchart representing the response to levamisole and its association with the previous use of cyclophosphamide. CFM, cyclophosphamide; SDNS, steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome.

---

First Spanish case of syndromic intellectual disability with dysmorphic facies, seizures, and distal limb anomalies caused by biallelic mutations in the OTUD6B gene

Primer caso español de discapacidad intelectual sindrónica con dismorfia facial, crisis y anomalías de extremidades por mutaciones bialélicas en el gen OTUD6B

Dear Editor:

Biallelic mutations in the OTUD6B gene, which is located in region 8q21.3, have been recently described as causing syndromic intellectual disability (ID) in 7 families across the world. This gene encodes an enzyme involved in deubiquitination, the removal of ubiquitin from proteins marked for degradation. Ubiquitinating/deubiquitinating enzymes also regulate numerous processes, such as cell signalling, protein–protein interactions and intracellular trafficking. Recent studies have found an association of changes in these mechanisms with autoimmune and neurologic disorders, among other diseases, and changes in the gene encoding deubiquitinase OTUD6B with abnormalities in cell growth and cancer.

Intellectual developmental disorder with dysmorphic facies, seizures, and distal limb anomalies OMIM 617452 manifests with all the characteristics that define it in every case described to date, and the ID is usually severe. Other features frequently found in these patients are a history of intrauterine growth restriction IUGR, short stature, heart defects and various skeletal abnormalities and neurologic disorders autism spectrum disorder, ataxia, etc. On account of its exceptional nature, we describe a new case with a homozygous c.433C>T mutation in gene OTUD6B previously detected in 3 of the 7 families described in the literature.

The patient was a Spanish girl aged 4 years with no relevant family history born to healthy nonconsanguineous parents. She was referred to the medical genetics clinic at age 3 months due to the presence of abnormal facial features, microcephaly and a history of IUGR. The pregnancy developed without complications until week 30, when imaging detected the absence of the nasal bone and suggested the presence of a ventricular septal defect (unconfirmed). An amniocentesis was performed, and the results of quantitative fluorescein PCR and karyotyping were normal. The patient had no perinatal disease and her anthropometric values were normal (weight, 2745 g [15th percentile, z = −1.05]; length, 46 cm [21st percentile; z = −0.83]; head circumference [HC], 33 cm [19th percentile, z = −0.9]).

During the follow-up, the patient exhibited generalised hypotonia and moderate global developmental delay. The patient achieved sitting up at 11 months and currently exhibits unstable walking. She has features of autism spectrum disorder and has expressive language delay. She had onset of febrile tonic-clonic seizures at age 8 months that have since become afebrile. The findings of electroencephalography (EEG) and video-EEG examinations have been normal to present. Nevertheless, treatment with valproic acid was initiated, which has achieved adequate control of the seizures. The patient is enrolled in an early intervention programme and is in follow-up with periodic evaluations in the paediatric neurology department. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed a mild and nonspecific enlargement of the fourth ventricle, in the absence of other CNS anomalies.
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