



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Malnutrition in children admitted to hospital. Results of a national survey<sup>☆</sup>



José Manuel Moreno Villares<sup>a,\*</sup>, Vicente Varea Calderón<sup>b</sup>, Carlos Bousoño García<sup>c</sup>, On behalf of the Sociedad Española de Gastroenterología, Hepatología y Nutrición Pediátrica (SEGHNP)

<sup>a</sup> Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Central de Asturias, Oviedo, Asturias, Spain

Received 10 November 2015; accepted 28 December 2015

Available online 8 April 2017

### KEYWORDS

Hospital malnutrition;  
Nutritional status;  
Nutritional assessment;  
Undernutrition;  
Nutritional screening;  
Hospital admission

### Abstract

**Introduction:** Malnutrition on admission is closely related to a longer hospital stay and a higher morbidity. The prevalence of hospital malnutrition has been reported as almost as high as 50%, with 6% being the lowest. DHOSPE study investigates nutrition status in Spanish hospitals and its outcome during the hospital stay.

**Patients and methods:** A longitudinal, multicentre, descriptive, cross-sectional study, with a short follow-up period was conducted in 32 hospitals during 2011. A total of 991 patients were included, with ages from 0 to 17 years. Each patient was measured at admission (weight, length, weight for length -W/L-, length for age -L/A-), and at 7 and 14 days. The STAMP nutritional screening tool was completed on admission. Anthropometric measurements were reported as z-score, and nutrition status classified according to W/L and L/A for acute and chronic malnutrition, respectively.

**Results:** The prevalence of malnutrition was 7.1% for moderate, and 0.7% for severe acute malnutrition. For chronic malnutrition, 2.7% was moderate, and 1.4% severe. There were significant differences according to the underlying condition but not according to age. Results of STAMP show that around 75% of patients had a moderate to high risk of malnutrition. Nutritional status changed during admission for weight, as well as W/L and L/A. A worse nutritional status at admission and a higher STAMP score were positively correlated with the need for nutrition support.

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Moreno Villares JM, Varea Calderón V, Bousoño García C, On behalf of the Sociedad Española de Gastroenterología, Hepatología y Nutrición Pediátrica (SEGHNP). Malnutrición en el niño ingresado en un hospital. Resultados de una encuesta nacional. An Pediatr (Barc). 2017;86:270–276.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [josemanuel.moreno@salud.madrid.org](mailto:josemanuel.moreno@salud.madrid.org) (J.M. Moreno Villares).

**PALABRAS CLAVE**

Desnutrición hospitalaria; Estado nutricional; Valoración nutricional; Malnutrición; Cribado nutricional; Hospitalización

*Conclusions:* The prevalence of undernutrition was slightly lower (<8%) than previously reported, probably in relation to the variety of hospitals in the survey. Nevertheless, nutritional risk when evaluated with STAMP showed a high risk of malnutrition.

© 2015 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

**Malnutrición en el niño ingresado en un hospital. Resultados de una encuesta nacional****Resumen**

*Introducción:* La desnutrición al ingreso se relaciona con hospitalizaciones más prolongadas y mayor morbilidad. La prevalencia varía entre un 6 y un 50%. El estudio DHOSPE se pregunta sobre la situación nutricional al ingreso y cómo se modifica durante el mismo.

*Pacientes y métodos:* Estudio observacional, descriptivo, transversal, con un breve seguimiento longitudinal, multicéntrico, realizado en 32 hospitales en 2011. Se incluyó a 991 pacientes. En todos se realizó una valoración nutricional al ingreso, a los 7 y 14 días. Se utilizó la herramienta de cribado nutricional STAMP en el momento del ingreso. Las medidas antropométricas se evaluaron como puntuaciones Z y el estado nutricional con los índices de Waterlow de peso para la desnutrición aguda y de talla para la crónica.

*Resultados:* La prevalencia de desnutrición fue del 7,1% para la aguda moderada y del 0,7% para la grave. Para la crónica: 2,7% moderada y 1,4% grave. No se encontraron diferencias significativas en función de la edad pero sí en función de la enfermedad. Algo más del 75% de los pacientes presentaban riesgo intermedio o elevado de desnutrirse durante el ingreso, valorado con STAMP. La peor situación nutricional al ingreso y una puntuación más elevada en el riesgo nutricional se correlacionaron positivamente con la necesidad de soporte nutricional.

*Conclusiones:* La prevalencia de desnutrición fue sensiblemente inferior (< 8%) a lo publicado, probablemente en relación con la distinta complejidad de los hospitales. El riesgo nutricional valorado con la herramienta STAMP fue elevado (el 75% presentaba riesgo moderado o intenso de desnutrición).

© 2015 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Todos los derechos reservados.

**Introduction**

The European Society for Clinical Nutrition and Metabolism (ESPEN) defines malnutrition as "a state of nutrition in which a deficiency or excess of energy, protein, and other nutrients causes measurable adverse effects on tissue/body form and function, and clinical outcome."<sup>1</sup> Although this definition also refers to malnutrition due to excess intake (overweight and obesity), in the context of hospitalised patients, malnutrition due to insufficient intake, known as undernutrition, is more relevant. More recently, there have been advances on the classification of malnutrition as illness-related or not, which has implications for both the approach to its management and the response to the interventions used to prevent or treat it.<sup>2</sup>

The prevalence of acute and chronic undernutrition in hospitalised children depends to a great extent on the criteria used to define them and the growth references used in their assessment.<sup>3</sup> The prevalence reported in studies published in different European countries or the United States ranges between 6% and a little less than 50%.<sup>4</sup> On the other hand, it is well known that nutritional status worsens during the hospital stay in a variable percentage of patients.

The Undernutrition in Children's Hospitals in Spain study (Desnutrición en Hospitales Pediátricos en España [DHOSPE]) is a multicentric study conducted simultaneously in 32 hospitals and designed to answer two questions: which is the nutritional status of children at admission, and how does it change during their hospital stay? To these questions, we added the Screening Tool for the Assessment of Malnutrition in Pediatrics (STAMP). More detailed information on the design of the study and the prevalence of undernutrition and the risk of undernutrition at admission can be found in a previously published article,<sup>5</sup> while in this one we will focus on the changes in nutritional status throughout the hospital stay and its correlation with the need for nutritional support.

**Patients, materials and methods**

We conducted a multicentric, observational, descriptive, cross-sectional cohort study with a brief longitudinal followup, performed under conditions of everyday clinical practise (with no targeted intervention based on the results of the assessment at the time of admission). The study was conducted in 32 hospitals between June and September of 2011 ([Appendix A](#) details the participating hospitals). We

included patients aged less than 17 years with a length of stay of more than 48 h and whose parents or legal guardians signed their informed consent for participation in the study. We excluded patients admitted to the neonatal or intensive care units, or patients with medical conditions that would complicate the interpretation of the anthropometric data based on the judgment of the researcher, such as perinatal static encephalopathy, chromosome disorder, etc.

We grouped patients into the following age categories: infants ( $n=235$ , 23.7%), young children (1–3 years,  $n=212$ ; 21.4%); age 3–8 years ( $n=286$ ; 28.9%) and age more than 8 years ( $n=258$ ; 26%); 54.3% were male.

The patients included in the study underwent a nutritional assessment in the first 72 h of admission and later on at seven (V2) and at 14 days if they remained hospitalised or otherwise at discharge (V3). We collected the results of laboratory parameters (complete blood count, blood chemistry panel) when applicable. Furthermore, we administered the STAMP questionnaire during the initial evaluation to assess for nutritional risk. The STAMP tool consists of five simple steps: steps 1 through 3 rate elements associated with dietary intake and anthropometric measurements; step 4 combines the three scores and establishes a risk category (from 0 to >4), and step 5 proposes a treatment plan<sup>6</sup> (available at <http://stampscreeningtool.org/stamp.html>, accessed March 27, 2015). We only applied the first four steps.

We also documented the type of nutritional support that patients received during their stay (without specific indications, use of enteral tube feeding and use of parenteral nutrition) and assessed its correlation with nutritional status at admission and the evaluation of nutritional risk (STAMP).

The study protocol was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committees of all participating hospitals. We obtained the informed consent of the parents or legal guardians as well as the consent of patients aged more than 12 years.

## Statistical methods

We assumed a prevalence of undernutrition in the sample of 25% based on the evidence from previous studies.<sup>3,4</sup> We estimated that 801 participants were required for an error in the estimation of the prevalence of  $\pm 3\%$  and a 95% confidence interval. Considering that we could had losses of up to 15%, we established that we needed a final sample of

1000 children. Using the STAMP questionnaire, we classified diseases into three categories: low or zero probability, high probability, or certain or near-certain probability of malnutrition.

We assessed anthropometric measurements (weight, height, body mass index) in z-scores, and using the Fundación Orbegozo growth charts of 1988 as reference.<sup>7</sup> We determined the nutritional status of patients using the percentage of weight-for-height (Waterlow-weight) as a measure of acute undernutrition (>100%, overweight-obesity; 90–100%, normal weight; 80–90%, mild undernutrition; 70–80%, moderate undernutrition; <70%, severe undernutrition) and the percentage of height-for age (Waterlow-height) as a measure of chronic undernutrition (>95%, normal; 90–95% mild undernutrition; 85–90%, moderate undernutrition; <85%, severe undernutrition).

We performed the statistical analysis with Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) version 9.3. We compared the means or medians of independent groups by means of parametric ANOVA for normally distributed variables and nonparametric ANOVA for variables that did not follow a normal distribution. We used the chi square test or the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test to compare proportions in independent groups. For repeated measures, we used ANOVA to compare central tendency and Friedman's test to compare proportions.

## Results

The data on the prevalence of malnutrition have been published in a previous article<sup>5</sup>: in short, out of the 991 patients in the final analysis we found moderate acute malnutrition in 7.1% and acute severe malnutrition in 0.7%, while 37.9% of the children admitted to hospital were overweight or obese. We found chronic moderate malnutrition in 2.7% and chronic severe malnutrition in 1.4%. We found no significant differences between age groups, although there were differences between patients with different diseases. Thus, the weight z-score was significantly lower in the group of patients with diseases categorized as "with certain probability of malnutrition" in all age groups except the group aged more than 8 years. We did not find an association between serum albumin levels and nutritional status at admission.

Table 1 shows the results of the STAMP questionnaire at admission. The percentage of patients with intermediate or high risk of having undernutrition during the hospital stay was 76.6% for the group aged less than 1 year, 75.4% for

**Table 1** Risk of malnutrition at admission based on the STAMP tool.

	N	%	LBCI	UBCI
Overall risk of malnutrition	255	25.7	23.3	28.5
Low risk (0–1)				
Intermediate risk (2–3)	382	38.5	35.5	41.6
High risk (4–9)	354	35.7	32.7	38.8
Total	991	100	100	100

Mean and 95% confidence interval.

LBCI, lower bound of confidence interval; UBCI, upper bound of confidence interval.

**Table 2** Assessment of the change in z-score in the measurements at one week (V2) and 2 weeks (V3), by age group.

		Age group (years)								
		<1		≥1 and <3		≥3 and <8		≥8 and <17		
		V2	V3	V2	V3	V2	V3	V2	V3	
Change weight z-score	Mean	0.14	0.53	-0.00	-0.08	0.00	0.02	-0.05	-0.06	
	V2-V1: <i>P</i> < .0001	Deviation	0.54	0.76	0.25	0.52	0.22	0.29	0.33	0.23
	V3-V1: <i>P</i> < .0001	<i>N</i>	208	35	175	21	231	38	221	52
Change height z-score	Mean	0.12	0.34	0.03	0.07	0.02	-0.00	0.03	0.03	
	V2-V1: <i>P</i> = .1874	Deviation	0.64	0.72	0.55	0.27	0.17	0.26	0.14	0.07
	V3-V1: <i>P</i> = .1553	<i>N</i>	208	35	175	21	231	38	221	52
Change BMI z-score	Mean	0.10	0.42	-0.01	-0.15	-0.01	0.02	-0.09	-0.11	
	V2-V1: <i>P</i> < .0001	Deviation	0.78	0.96	0.50	0.71	0.35	0.51	0.47	0.28
	V3-V1: <i>P</i> = .0181	<i>N</i>	208	35	175	21	231	38	221	52

*P*-value obtained in nonparametric ANOVA, as variables did not have a normal distribution.

**Table 3** Assessment of weight-for-height (W-weight) and height-for-age (W-height) at one week (V2) and two weeks (V3) compared to baseline, by age group.

		Age group (years)								
		<1		≥1 and <3		≥3 and <8		≥8 and <17		
		V2	V3	V2	V3	V2	V3	V2	V3	
Change in W-weight	Mean	0.92	1.57	-0.21	-1.46	-0.01	0.20	-1.40	-1.47	
	V2-V1: <i>P</i> < .0001	Deviation	7.92	8.49	4.06	5.64	3.35	4.26	6.95	3.86
	V3-V1: <i>P</i> < .0001	<i>N</i>	208	35	175	21	231	38	221	52
Change in W-height	Mean	0.42	1.11	0.09	0.23	0.08	0.01	0.12	0.15	
	V2-V1: <i>P</i> = .2048	Deviation	2.10	2.27	1.79	0.91	0.63	0.95	0.57	0.33
	V3-V1: <i>P</i> = .1735	<i>N</i>	208	35	175	21	231	38	221	52

*P*-value obtained in nonparametric ANOVA, as variables did not have a normal distribution.

the group aged 1–3 years; 70.3% for the group aged 3–8 years 75.6% for the group aged more than 8 years, with no significant differences between groups. We observed higher nutritional risk scores in patients that had moderate acute malnutrition at admission.

An additional nutritional assessment was performed one week after admission in 835 patients, and a third one at two

weeks from admission or at discharge in 146 patients. We found significant differences in the changes in weight z-score from baseline both in V2 and V3, but not in height (Table 2), as well as in the Waterloo weight-for-height (Table 3), although with an uneven trend across age groups. Thus, it improved in infants and the 3-to-8 years age group, but it worsened in children aged 1–3 years and hardly changed

**Table 4** Type of nutritional intervention based on the undernutrition risk score.

<i>P</i> < .0001	Type of nutrition						Total <i>N</i>
	Oral		Tube feeding		Parenteral		
	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	<i>N</i>	%	
Overall undernutrition risk	253	26.7	2	5.4			255
Low risk (0–1)							
Moderate risk (2–3)	377	39.9	1	10.8	1	12.5	382
High risk (4–9)	316	33.4	31	83.8	7	87.5	354
Total	946	100.0	37	100.0	8	100.0	991

*P*-value obtained using the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test (based on ranges), as the variable did not follow a normal distribution.

in the group of children aged more than 8 years. Although weight-for-height did not change during the hospital stay in 73–87% of patients, nutritional status improved in approximately 17.3% (in infants) and 2.7% (children aged more than 8 years), while nutritional status worsened in 10% of patients in all age groups.

Nutritional status at admission was significantly correlated with the need for artificial nutrition ( $P = .03$  for acute undernutrition and  $P < .0001$  for chronic undernutrition) and with nutritional risk (Table 4).

## Discussion

The prevalence of acute or chronic malnutrition in hospitalised pediatric patients varies widely based on the criteria applied for its definition and the growth charts that serve as the reference standard.<sup>3</sup> This partly explains why the prevalence reported in published studies ranges between 6% and 40%. A national survey recently conducted in the Netherlands found that 19% of hospitalised children in this country had acute or chronic malnutrition.<sup>8</sup> There is also the problem that a variable percentage of children become malnourished during their hospital stay, so that it would be convenient to identify not only the patients with undernutrition at the time of admission, but also those at risk of undernutrition during their hospital stay.<sup>4</sup>

The purpose of the DHOSPE study, promoted by the Sociedad Española de Gastroenterología, Hepatología y Nutrición Pediátrica, was to answer the questions already mentioned. A study conducted in a tertiary care hospital in Spain found a prevalence of undernutrition of 17%.<sup>9</sup> However, the prevalence of acute undernutrition found by the DHOSPE study was of approximately 8%, and it was moderate in most patients. The most likely reason for this is that the latter included hospitals of every possible level of care, providing a more realistic representation of undernutrition at admission, with a lower prevalence compared to those reported in the classical references on the subject<sup>10,11</sup> and closer to those reported by more recent studies by Pawelleck et al. (2008, prevalence of 6.4%),<sup>12</sup> Huysentruyt et al. (2013, 9.8% of patients with acute undernutrition)<sup>13</sup> or the multicentric European study (2015, 7.0%),<sup>14</sup> and has the added strength of being a nationwide survey. These figures are considerably lower than those reported in the adult population,<sup>15,16</sup> especially in the elderly.<sup>17</sup> Unlike what has been reported in other series, we did not find a higher prevalence of undernutrition either in infants or in children with diseases with the potential to impact nutritional status.

The aforementioned European multicentric study<sup>16</sup> found that the more severe the undernutrition at admission, the longer the hospital stay, an association that was not analyzed in the DHOSPE study. While 23% of the patients in the European study lost weight during their hospital stay, the percentage in our study was substantially lower (10%), although we were unable to quantify the weight loss. On the other hand, few children experienced improvements in nutritional status during their stay.

While the prevalence of undernutrition at admission may be low (<10%), there is the added concern that a similar percentage develops undernutrition during their hospital stay, so it could be beneficial to have screening tools for the risk of undernutrition that would allow the performance of preventive interventions in at-risk children. As we have already mentioned, undernutrition is not only associated with longer lengths of stay, but also with increased morbidity (nosocomial infections, complications of wound healing, etc.). In recent years, considerable efforts have been made toward developing nutritional screening tools for the pediatric population. At least five such tools have been published in the past decade,<sup>5,18–21</sup> most frequently validated by their own authors,<sup>22</sup> and rarely by other parties.<sup>23,24</sup> Furthermore, there is no evidence on the impact in terms of costs and benefits of the potential implementation of these tools in clinical practice. Most of the tools are highly sensitive in detecting nutritional risk, but they have low specificity, as we were able to corroborate in using the STAMP tool at admission (based on which approximately three fourths of admitted children were at moderate to high risk of undernutrition). Its correlation with nutritional status at the time of admission was also weak. However, in the DHOSPE study we found a greater probability of requiring artificial nutritional support during the hospital stay in patients with unfavorable STAMP scores, which suggests that it may be useful in the practice of pediatrics nutritional support teams,<sup>25</sup> although larger studies are required to corroborate this.

Some of the limitations of the study include its performance during a specific season of the year (summer) that may not be representative of the entire year, and that the criteria applied to define undernutrition (weight-for-height and height-for-age) may erroneously categorize some children as malnourished that are not. Although some interesting approaches to the definition of malnutrition have been proposed, such as the one by Mehta mentioned above or the ASPEN indicators,<sup>26</sup> the task has yet to be completed, as is also the case for the adult population.<sup>27</sup>

However, the identification of malnutrition and the risk of undernutrition in hospitalised children, along with ensuring the visibility of the problem (for instance, by coding it among the diagnoses included in the discharge summary)<sup>28</sup> are key elements to improve the approach and resolution of the problem.

## Funding

This study was conducted with the support of the Sociedad Española de Gastroenterología, Hepatología y Nutrición Pediátrica with a grant from the Abbott Fund (area of nutrition).

## Conflict of interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## Appendix A.

Number of patients included in the study by participating hospital.

Code	Hospital	N
1	Oviedo: H. U. Central de Asturias	45
3	H. de León (Complejo Asistencial)	47
4	Valladolid: H. Clínico Universitario	50
5	Vigo: H. Xeral de Vigo	43
7	Gijón: H. Cabueñes	46
8	Avilés: H. San Agustín	18
9	H. Virgen del Camino, Pamplona	11
10	H. Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona	122
11	Hospital Miguel Servet de Zaragoza	49
12	H. La Fe de Valencia	46
13	H. Clínico de Valencia	11
14	H. Sant Joan de Reus	6
15	H. de la Vall D'Hebrón, Barcelona	53
16	H. de Parc Taulí, Sabadell	41
17	H. San Juan Alicante	20
18	Hospital Arnau de Vilanova, Lleida	14
20	H. U. La Paz, Madrid	100
22	Hospital Universitario de Getafe	17
23	Hospital de Fuenlabrada, Madrid	58
24	Hospital Niño Jesús, Madrid	30
25	H. Infanta Elena Valdemoro, Madrid	5
28	Complejo Hospitalario Universitario Insular-Materno Infantil	48
30	Hospital Universitario Santa Lucía de Cartagena	22
31	Hospital de la Arrixaca, Murcia	45
32	Hospital Virgen de las Nieves, Granada	40
33	Hospital Poniente, Almería	11
34	H. Reina Sofía, Córdoba	13
35	Hospital Juan Ramón Jiménez, Huelva	14
36	H. Virgen Macarena, Sevilla	26
37	H. Torrecárdenas, Almería	25
38	H. Puerta del Mar, Cádiz	2
Total: 31		1078

H, hospital; H.U., University Hospital (Hospital Universitario).

## References

- Lochs H, Allison SP, Meier R, Pirtlich M, Kondrup J, Schneider S, et al. Introductory to the ESPEN guidelines on enteral nutrition: terminology, definitions and general topics. *Clin Nutr.* 2006;25:180–6.
- Mehta NM, Corkins MR, Lyman B, Malone A, Goday PS, Carney L, et al. Defining pediatric malnutrition: a paradigm shift toward etiology-related definitions. *JPEN.* 2013;37:460–81.
- Joosten KFM, Hulst JM. Malnutrition in pediatric hospital patients: current issues. *Nutrition.* 2011;27:133–7.
- Pichler J, Hill SM, Shaw V, Lucas A. Prevalence of undernutrition during hospitalization in a children's hospital: what happens during admission? *Eur J Clin Nutr.* 2014;68:730–5.
- Moreno Villares JM, Varea Calderón V, Bousoño García C, Lama Moré R, Redecilla Ferreiro S, Peña Quintana L. Evaluación del estado nutricional de niños ingresados en el hospital en España; estudio DHOSPE (Desnutrición Hospitalaria en el Paciente Pediátrico en España). *Nutr Hosp.* 2013;28:709–18.
- McCarthy H, McNully H, Dixon M, Eaton-Evans MJ. Screening for nutrition risk in children: the validation of a new tool. *J Hum Nutr Diet.* 2008;21:395–6.
- Sobradillo B, Aguirre A, Aresti U, Bilbao A, Fernández-Ramos C, Lizárraga A, et al. Curvas y tablas de crecimiento (estudios longitudinal y transversal). Bilbao: Fundación Faustino Orbeagoiz Eizaguirre; 1988.
- Joosten KF, Zwart H, Hop WC, Hulst JM. National malnutrition screening days in hospitalized children in the Netherlands. *Arch Dis Child.* 2010;95:141–5.
- Moreno Villares JM, Oliveros Leal L, Pedrón Giner C. Desnutrición hospitalaria en niños. *Acta Pediatr Esp.* 2005;63:63–9.
- Moy R, Smallman S, Booth I. Malnutrition in a UK children's hospital. *J Hum Nutr Diet.* 1990;3:93–100.
- Hendricks KM, Duggan C, Gallagher L, Carlin AC, Richardson DS, Collire SB, et al. Malnutrition in hospitalized pediatric patients. Current prevalence. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 1995;149:1118–22.
- Pawelleck I, Dokoupil K, Koletzko B. Prevalence of malnutrition in paediatric hospital patients. *Clin Nutr.* 2008;27:72–6.
- Huysentruyt K, Alliet P, Muysont L, Devreker T, Bontems P, Vandeplass Y. Hospital-related undernutrition in children: still an often unrecognized and untreated problem. *Acta Paediatr.* 2013;102:e460–6.
- Hecht C, Weber M, Grote V, Daskalou E, Dell'Era L, Flynn D, et al. Disease associated malnutrition correlates with length of hospital stay in children. *Clin Nutr.* 2015;34:53–9.
- Álvarez-Hernández J, Planas Vila M, León-Sanz M, García de Lorenzo A, Celaya-Pérez S, García-Lorda P, et al., PREDyCES researchers. Prevalence and costs of malnutrition in hospitalized patients; the PREDyCES Study. *Nutr Hosp.* 2012;27:1049–59.
- Pérez de la Cruz A, Lobo Támer G, Orduña Espinosa R, Mel-lado Pastor C, Aguayo de Hoyos E, Ruiz López MD. Desnutrición en pacientes hospitalizados: prevalencia e impacto económico. *Med Cin (Barc).* 2004;123:201–6.
- Barker LA, Gout BS, Crowe TC. Hospital malnutrition: prevalence, identification and impact on patients and the healthcare system. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* 2011;8:514–27.
- Sermet-Gaudelus I, Poisson-Salomon AS, Colomb V, Brusset MC, Mosser F, Berrier F, et al. Simple pediatric nutritional risk score to identify children at risk of malnutrition. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000;72:64–70.
- Secker DJ, Jeejeebhoy KN. Subjective global nutritional assessment for children. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007;85:1083–9.
- Gerasimidis K, Keane O, Macleod I, Flynn DM, Wright CM. A four-stage evaluation of the Paediatric Yorkshire Malnutrition Score in a tertiary paediatric hospital and a district general hospital. *Br J Nutr.* 2010;104:751–6.
- Hulst JM, Zwart H, Hop WC, Joosten KFM. Dutch national survey to test STRONGkids nutritional risk screening tool in hospitalized patients. *Clin Nutr.* 2010;29:106–11.
- Hartman C, Shamir R, Hecht C, Koletzko B. Malnutrition screening tools for hospitalized children. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care.* 2012;15:303–9.
- Spagnuolo MI, Liguoro I, Chiatto F, Mambretti D, Guarino A. Application of a score system to evaluate the risk of malnutrition in a multiple hospital setting. *Ital J Pediatr.* 2013;39:91.
- Lama More R, Moráis López A, Herrero Álvarez M, Caraballo Chicanos S, Galera Martínez E, López Ruzafa E, et al. Validación de una herramienta de cribado nutricional para pacientes pediátricos hospitalizados. *Nutr Hosp.* 2012;27:1429–36.
- Agostoni C, Axelson I, Colomb V, Goulet O, Koletzko B, Michaelsen K, et al. The need for nutrition support teams in pediatric units: a commentary by the ESPGHAN Committee on Nutrition. *JPGN.* 2005;41:8–111.

26. Becker P, Carney LN, Corkins MR, Monczka J, Smith E, Smith SE, et al. Consensus Statement of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics/American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition: indicators recommended for the identification and documentation of pediatric malnutrition (undernutrition). *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2015;30:147–61.
27. Cederholm T, Bosaeus I, Barazzoni R, Bauer J, van Gossum A, Klek S, et al. Diagnostic criteria for malnutrition. An ESPEN Consensus Statement. *Clin Nutr*. 2015;34:335–40.
28. Alvarez J, del Río J, Planas M, García Peris P, García de Lorenzo A, Calvo V, et al. Documento SENPE-SEDOM sobre la codificación de la desnutrición hospitalaria. *Nutr Hosp*. 2008;23:536–40.