

Table 2 Multiple logistic regression.

	Significance	OR	95% CI	
Age	0.919	0.999	0.977	1.021
Sex	0.649	1.505	0.259	8.750
Duration (days)	0.998	1.000	0.984	1.017
Infectious disease	0.197	3.044	0.561	16.506
Time (days)	0.691	0.944	0.713	1.252
Severity of attack	0.006	12.167	2.034	72.784
Correct use	0.146	0.120	0.007	2.089

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.

References

1. Global Initiative for Asthma. Global strategy for asthma management and prevention 2021. Global Initiative for Asthma; 2021. p. 1–211.
2. Griffiths B, Kew KM. Intravenous magnesium sulfate for treating children with acute asthma in the emergency department. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2016;4:CD011050.
3. Pruikkonen H, Tapiainen T, Kallio M, Dunder T, Pokka T, Uhari M, et al. Intravenous magnesium sulfate for acute wheezing in young children: a randomised double-blind trial. *Eur Respir J.* 2018;51:1701579.
4. Schuh S, Macias C, Freedman B, Plint A, Zorc JJ, Bajaj L, et al. North American practice patterns of intravenous magnesium therapy in severe acute asthma in children. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2010;17:1189–96.
5. Schuh S, Freedman SB, Zemek R, Plint AC, Johnson DW, Ducharme, et al. Association between intravenous magnesium

therapy in the emergency department and subsequent hospitalization among pediatric patients with refractory acute asthma: secondary analysis of a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2021;4:e2117542.

6. Mittal V, Hall M, Antoon J, Gold J, Kenyon C, Parikh K, et al. Trends in intravenous magnesium use and outcomes for status asthmaticus in children's hospitals from 2010 to 2017. *J Hosp Med.* 2020;15:403–6.

Marta López García^{a,*}, Rocio Álvarez Eixéres^b,
 Maria Antonia Rosselló Gomila^a, David Díaz Pérez^a,
 Borja Osona Rodríguez^{a,c,d}

^a Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Universitario Son Espases, Palma, Islas Baleares, Spain

^b Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Universitario Son Llatzer, Palma, Islas Baleares, Spain

^c Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Illes Balears, Hospital Universitario Son Espases, Palma, Islas Baleares, Spain

^d Unidat de Neumologia pediátrica, Servicio de Pediatría, Hospital Universitario Son Espases, Palma, Islas Baleares, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: martachelis@gmail.com (M. López García).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anpede.2022.02.004>

2341-2879/ © 2022 Asociación Española de Pediatría. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Health-related quality of life in preadolescents before and during the lockdown[☆]



Calidad de vida relacionada con la salud en preadolescentes antes y durante el confinamiento

Dear Editor:

The state of alarm declared in Spain due to the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic lasted for 98 days, during which the Spanish population was under strict home confinement.

Health-related quality of life (HRQoL) is a widely studied construct in the literature that refers to a state of physical, mental, and social well-being.¹ The objective of our study was to compare HRQoL in preadolescents in Spain before and during the COVID-19 lockdown.

We conducted a 2-wave longitudinal study (wave 1, December 2019; wave 2, lockdown period, at least 62 days after it began). The participants were 165 students (38.2% male) from year 6 of primary education to year 2 of compulsory secondary education (ESO in Spanish) enrolled in 5 schools in the regions of Aragón, Asturias and Castilla y León in Spain.

We used the KIDSCREEN-27.² This version assesses 5 dimensions with 27 items. The reliability indicators were adequate.

We obtained consent for participation of the students, and the study was approved by the schools and the research ethics committee.

We found significant differences in physical well-being, with a substantial decrease during the lockdown ($P < .001$) during the lockdown. In contrast, scores for the autonomy and parents dimension increased during the lockdown ($P < .001$) (Table 1). We did not find significant differences based on sex or educational stage.

The significant decrease in physical well-being could be expected given the restrictions to free movement and suspension of face-to-face classes and activities. This situation affecting a large portion of European children and adolescents was a major source of concern³ and should be taken into account in case of future total or partial lockdown situations.

[☆] Please cite this article as: González-Cabrera J, Ortega-Barón J, Montiel I, Machimbarrena JM. Calidad de vida relacionada con la salud en preadolescentes antes y durante el confinamiento. *An Pediatr (Barc).* 2022;97:215–217.

Table 1 Repeated-measures ANOVA (before and during the lockdown) of HRQoL by sex and educational stage.

	Group	Time	Mean (SD)	Effects				
				Time $F(\eta^2)$	Group $F(\eta^2)$	Interaction $F(\eta^2)$		
Physical well-being	Sex	Male	Before During	52.45 (11.55) 47.75 (6.81)	23.51*** (0.14)	1.37 (0.01)	0.45 (0.00)	
		Female	Before During	50.30 (11.27) 46.74 (7.34)				
	Stage	Primary	Before During	50.12 (11.68) 47.37 (6.52)	15.10*** (0.10)	0.73 (0.01)	0.36 (0.00)	
		ESO	Before During	51.48 (11.31) 47.03 (7.38)				
	Psychological well-being	Sex	Male	Before During	52.79 (13.85) 54.25 (12.81)	0.11 (0.00)	2.86 (0.02)	0.88 (0.01)
			Female	Before During	50.72 (13.63) 50.01 (12.15)			
Stage		Primary	Before During	53.11 (12.71) 50.37 (11.49)	0.39 (0.00)	0.94 (0.00)	2.44 (0.02)	
		ESO	Before During	50.91 (14.06) 52.07 (12.91)				
Autonomy and parents		Sex	Male	Before During	49.63 (11.54) 53.80 (12.35)	25.77*** (0.15)	0.19 (0.01)	1.46 (0.01)
			Female	Before During	49.08 (11.50) 55.85 (11.76)			
	Stage	Primary	Before During	51.01 (13.69) 54.63 (11.68)	18.78*** (0.11)	0.64 (0.00)	0.21 (0.01)	
		ESO	Before During	48.66 (10.55) 55.09 (11.98)				
	Peers and social support	Sex	Male	Before During	49.52 (12.95) 50.45 (11.88)	0.62 (0.00)	0.19 (0.00)	2.53 (0.02)
			Female	Before During	52.06 (10.00) 49.30 (12.76)			
Stage		Primary	Before During	50.42 (13.47) 51.35 (10.33)	1.29 (0.01)	0.53 (0.00)	0.02 (0.00)	
		ESO	Before During	48.78 (13.76) 50.09 (11.91)				
School Environment		Sex	Male	Before During	51.27 (11.37) 52.27 (12.00)	0.06 (0.00)	2.47 (0.02)	0.53 (0.00)
			Female	Before During	54.52 (10.95) 54.02 (11.08)			
	Stage	Primary	Before During	51.82 (11.99) 52.61 (13.74)	0.07 (0.00)	0.77 (0.00)	0.20 (0.00)	
		ESO	Before During	53.85 (10.85) 53.63 (10.50)				

ESO, Spanish compulsory secondary education; SD, standard deviation.

*** $P < .001$.

When it comes to the significant increase in the autonomy and parents score, there are several possible explanations: 1) an adaptation to the pandemic through coping strategies⁴ that buffered the potential negative impact on HRQoL; 2) the time available to legal guardians and devoted to the children, which may have increased due to the confinement. According to the attachment and the self-expansion theories, when parents and children spend more time together, their mutual understanding and psychological well-being increase.

There are limitations to our study, including collection of data with a self-reporting instrument and the size of the sample, and therefore it should be considered merely exploratory. Nevertheless, the results show that in the case of future confinement, it would be important to provide access to outdoor recreational areas with implementation of COVID-19 prevention protocols (use of mask, implementation of staggered schedules and use of vaccination certificates, as applicable) to ensure physical well-being.

Funding

This study was funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy, Industry and Competitiveness (project RTI2018-094212-B-I00, CIBER-AACC) and the International University of La Rioja (Cyberpsychology project, 2017-2020 and 2020-2022 trienniums).

Ethical considerations

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the International University of la Rioja (research project 004/2019).

References

1. Wallander JL, Koot HM. Quality of life in children: a critical examination of concepts, approaches, issues, and future directions. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2016;45:131–43, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2015.11.007>.
2. The Kidscreen Group Europe. *The Kidscreen questionnaires: quality of life questionnaires for children and adolescents: handbook. 3rd edition Lengerich: Pabst Science Publishers; 2006. p. 231.*
3. Ravens-Sieberer U, Kaman A, Otto C, Erhart M, Devine J, Schlack R. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on quality of life and mental health in children and adolescents. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry.* 2021:1–23, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00787-021-01726-5>.
4. Lee JH, Seo M, Lee M, Park SY, Lee JH, Lee SM. Profiles of coping strategies in resilient adolescents. *Psychol Rep.* 2017;120:49–69, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/003329411667794>.

Joaquín González-Cabrera^a, Jessica Ortega-Barón^a, Irene Montiel^a, Juan Manuel Machimbarrena^{b,*}

^a *Facultad de Educación, Universidad Internacional de la Rioja (UNIR), Logroño, La Rioja, Spain*

^b *Facultad de Psicología, Universidad del País Vasco (UPV/EHU), Donostia, País Vasco, Spain*

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: juanmanuel.machimbarrena@ehu.eus (J.M. Machimbarrena).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anpede.2022.03.006>

2341-2879/ © 2022 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of Asociación Española de Pediatría. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).